

Review



# Indoor Particulate Matter in Urban Households: Sources, Pathways, Characteristics, Health Effects, and Exposure Mitigation

Ling Zhang <sup>1,2</sup>, Changjin Ou <sup>1,\*</sup>, Dhammika Magana-Arachchi <sup>3</sup>, Meththika Vithanage <sup>3,4</sup>, Kanth Swaroop Vanka <sup>5</sup>, Thava Palanisami <sup>6</sup>, Kanaji Masakorala <sup>7</sup>, Hasintha Wijesekara <sup>8</sup>, Yubo Yan <sup>9,\*</sup>, Nanthi Bolan <sup>10</sup> and M. B. Kirkham <sup>11</sup>

- <sup>1</sup> Nantong Key Laboratory of Intelligent and New Energy Materials, Nantong University, Nantong 226019, China; aling2017@jsfpc.edu.cn
- <sup>2</sup> School of Health, Jiangsu Food & Pharmaceutical Science College, Huai'an 223003, China
- <sup>3</sup> Molecular Microbiology and Human Diseases Project, National Institute of Fundamental Studies, Hantana Road, Kandy 20000, Sri Lanka; dhammika.ma@nifs.ac.lk (D.M.-A.); meththika@sjp.ac.lk (M.V.)
- Ecosphere Resilience Research Center, Faculty of Applied Sciences, University of Sri Jayewardenepura, Nugegoda 10250, Sri Lanka
- <sup>5</sup> Priority Research Centre for Healthy Lungs, Faculty of Health and Medicine, School of Biomedical Sciences and Pharmacy, The University of Newcastle, Callaghan, NSW 2308, Australia; kanthswaroop.vanka@uon.edu.au
- <sup>6</sup> Global Innovative Centre for Advanced Nanomaterials (GICAN), Faculty of Engineering and Built Environment, The University of Newcastle, Callaghan, NSW 2308, Australia; thava.palanisami@newcastle.edu.au
- <sup>7</sup> Department of Botany, Faculty of Science, University of Ruhuna, Matara 80000, Sri Lanka; mas@bot.ruh.ac.lk
  - <sup>8</sup> Department of Natural Resources, Faculty of Applied Sciences, Sabaragamuwa University of Sri Lanka, Belihuloya 70140, Sri Lanka; wijesekara@appsc.sab.ac.lk
  - <sup>9</sup> Jiangsu Engineering Laboratory for Environment Functional Materials, Huaiyin Normal University, Huai'an 223300, China
  - <sup>10</sup> School of Agriculture and Environment, Institute of Agriculture, The University of Western Australia, Perth, WA 6001, Australia; Nanthi.Bolan@uwa.edu.au
  - <sup>11</sup> Department of Agronomy, Kansas State University, Manhattan, KS 66506, USA; mbk@ksu.edu
  - \* Correspondence: ocj1987@ntu.edu.cn (C.O.); yyb0920@sina.com (Y.Y.)

Abstract: Particulate matter (PM) is a complex mixture of solid particles and liquid droplets suspended in the air with varying size, shape, and chemical composition which intensifies significant concern due to severe health effects. Based on the well-established human health effects of outdoor PM, health-based standards for outdoor air have been promoted (e.g., the National Ambient Air Quality Standards formulated by the U.S.). Due to the exchange of indoor and outdoor air, the chemical composition of indoor particulate matter is related to the sources and components of outdoor PM. However, PM in the indoor environment has the potential to exceed outdoor PM levels. Indoor PM includes particles of outdoor origin that drift indoors and particles that originate from indoor activities, which include cooking, fireplaces, smoking, fuel combustion for heating, human activities, and burning incense. Indoor PM can be enriched with inorganic and organic contaminants, including toxic heavy metals and carcinogenic volatile organic compounds. As a potential health hazard, indoor exposure to PM has received increased attention in recent years because people spend most of their time indoors. In addition, as the quantity, quality, and scope of the research have expanded, it is necessary to conduct a systematic review of indoor PM. This review discusses the sources, pathways, characteristics, health effects, and exposure mitigation of indoor PM. Practical solutions and steps to reduce exposure to indoor PM are also discussed.

Keywords: indoor particulate matter; environmental health; exposure; mitigation

Citation: Zhang, L.; Ou, C.; Magana-Arachchi, D.; Vithanage, M.; Vanka, K.S.; Palanisami, T.; Masakorala, K.; Wijesekara, H.; Yan, Y.; Bolan, N. Indoor Particulate Matter in Urban Households: Sources, Pathways, Characteristics, Health Effects, and Exposure Mitigation. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2021**, *18*, 11055. https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph 182111055

Academic Editors: Zhaomin Dong, Ying Wang and Xiaomin Li

Received: 5 September 2021 Accepted: 15 October 2021 Published: 21 October 2021

**Publisher's Note:** MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



**Copyright:** © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

# 1. Introduction

Air pollution results from introducing various contaminants into the atmosphere that are likely to be detrimental to humans, other living organisms, and the natural environment [1]. Among a wide range of air pollutants, particulate matter (PM) is of particular concern because of its association with cardiopulmonary health disorders [2,3]. PM is a complex mixture of solid particles and liquid droplets made up of metals, organic compounds, sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, and other ions (Figure 1) [2,4]. In different indoor environment types, the composition is generally the same, but due to different indoor environment types, the difference may be small, and the proportion may be significantly different [5–9]. Early studies have shown that PM's composition is associated with some respiratory diseases, including asthma, chronic bronchitis, and acute bronchitis [10–12].



Figure 1. Schematic representation of PM (Source reference: [4]).

In addition to physical and chemical composition, the size of PM is an essential factor related to its effect on health. Briefly, PM is broadly categorized by its "aerodynamic equivalent diameter" (AED). As shown in Figure 2, particles with diameters between 2.5 and 10  $\mu$ m (PM<sub>2.5-10</sub>) are defined as "coarse"; less than 2.5  $\mu$ m as "fine"; and less than 0.1  $\mu$ m as "ultrafine" [13]. The main threat to health from PM is inhalable particulate matter, which can penetrate the chest area of the respiratory system and cause adverse health effects (Figure 3) [14]. For example, because PM<sub>2.5</sub> is light, it has a higher incidence rate and deposition rate in the lungs than other particles, resulting in it staying longer in the respiratory tract [15,16]. Pope et al. found that for every 10  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> increase in PM<sub>2.5</sub> levels, the risk of death increases by 8% to 18% [16]. According to data from the World Health Organization, about seven million people die from exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> in polluted air every year [17]. Moreover, ultrafine particles cause a more significant inflammatory response than fine particles per given mass [18], and the ultrafine-particle-toxicity effect can be enhanced by a gaseous co-pollutant such as ozone [14,19].

With technological advancements and lifestyle changes, more human activities, including cooking, cleaning, and indoor sports activities, are carried out indoors, all of which are likely to increase indoor PM [20]. Exposure studies show that indoor PM contributes substantially to personal exposure, and the indoor PM concentration levels may exceed those outdoors [1]. Specifically, epidemiologic studies have presented evidence that indoor PM plays a significant role in human health, such as lung malfunctioning, cardiovascular disease, respiratory symptoms, asthma, and premature births [21–24]. In addition, PM may alter the immune response by promoting immunoglobulin E, causing an inflammatory response. Nowadays, the indoor, suspended PM concentration has been identified as one criterion for evaluating indoor environmental quality [25]. For example, in 2012, Canada established the Residential Indoor Air Quality Guidelines, which state that PM<sub>2.5</sub> needs to be monitored, with a limit of 100  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> as a 1 h average (Short-Term Exposure) and 40  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> as an 8 h average (Long-Term Exposure). The National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS), set by USEPA, stipulates 35  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and 15  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> for 24 h and annual periods, respectively, for exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Furthermore, the World Health Organization recommended to apply to indoor spaces the same PM guidelines as for ambient air, presented on the 2005 global update, which are 25 and 50  $\mu$ g m<sup>-3</sup> for PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub>, respectively (over 24 h) [26].



**Figure 2.** Size comparison of PM2.5 and PM10 against the average diameter of a human hair (~70  $\mu$ m) and fine beach sand (~90  $\mu$ m) (Source reference: [1]).



Figure 3. Deposition potential for particles of varying sizes (Source reference: [1]).

Indoor PM sources include indoor origins and outdoor infiltration. Primary indoor sources of PM result from specific activities (cooking, sweeping, dusting, candle or incense burning, using laser-printing devices, fuel combustion for heating, and smoking tobacco), the design of the house, and secondary organic aerosols [27–30]. Due to air exchange, the indoor PM also originates from outdoor sources, including natural ones (forest fires, soil dust, and sea salt) and anthropogenic ones (transport, oil combustion, and coal burning in power plants) [2,31]. The automatic monitoring methods of PM<sub>2.5</sub> ambient air quality are the  $\beta$ -ray method and micro-oscillatory balance method. The effectiveness of urban

ambient air quality assessment can be effectively improved by selecting suitable methods in suitable areas.

To ensure the health and comfort of indoor environments, strategies should be taken to reduce indoor PM concentrations. The development of technology has allowed the new trend of operating indoor air purifiers to control indoor PM concentrations. Systems based on the principle of electrostatic precipitation and air purifiers using filtration technologies are two of the most common indoor air-purification technologies [32–34]. Natural ventilation with open windows is a common and economical approach to diluting indoor PM [35].

Numerous studies have described sources and health risks of indoor PM, but their conclusions have been focused on a single topic, e.g., the sources and its effects on susceptible subgroups [36–38]. As the quantity, quality, and scope of the research have expanded, this review is necessary to discuss new information, e.g., the characteristics, distribution, and pathways. This paper conducts a systematic review of sources, pathways, characteristics, and health effects of indoor PM. In addition, practical steps to reduce exposure to indoor PM are also discussed.

# 2. Sources and Distribution of Indoor PM

#### 2.1. Major Sources of Indoor PM

Indoor particulate pollution is grouped into primary and secondary PM, based on the origin of the PM. Primary indoor pollutants are directly generated from indoor domestic activities such as cooking, biomass heating, tobacco smoking, washing, cleaning, and other indoor activities. Secondary PM includes pollutants infiltrated from the outdoor environment and particles generated due to chemical reactions between indoor precursors and outdoor sources [39,40]. It is well known that ambient (outdoor) PM is a significant contributor and determining factor of indoor PM levels. Other factors, including indoor-type homes, offices, and commercial spaces; ventilation arrangements (naturally provided by windows or mechanical ventilation); occupancy rate and time; endotoxin levels; and geographical location, play a critical role in defining the chemical composition and disease burden of indoor PM [41,42]. According to the study of Sumpter and Chandramohan, the majority of lower-income groups from developing and low income countries rely on solid fuels for cooking and heating [43]. Activities such as cooking and heating using biofuels (coal and wood) can generate significant indoor PM concentrations, especially PM2.5 and ultrafine particulate matter [44]. Additionally, indoor exposure to asbestos fibers in old houses has been highlighted as a significant concern [45,46]. Due to its high tensile strength and versatility, asbestos, including crocidolite, was once widely used in construction (roofing, floors, and walls) and manufacturing household items such as fireproof curtains. Over time, weathering releases microscopic fibers, which are highly fibrogenic to the human lungs upon inhalation [45]. Furthermore, human habits, such as frequent windows and other dust-generating indoor activities, result in crucial indoor PM sources. For example, human walking is an important factor causing the resuspension of indoor PM. During the movement of humans, soles were exposed to the air. Branis et al. found that indoor human activities in the classroom could also lead to resuspension of large particulate matter, especially for PM<sub>10</sub>[47].

Indoor cooking has been a well-investigated source of PM over the past decades. Studies have shown that cooking activities enable the emission of millions of particles (~10<sup>6</sup> particles/cm<sup>3</sup>) through oil, wood, and food combustion, and most of them are ultrafine particulates [48,49]. In addition, cooking can lead to indoor PM emissions from cooking areas in homes, restaurants, and other building types (offices, schools, etc.) because high-temperature cooking can lead to water vapor and other solid and liquid particle emissions.

High emissions of indoor fine particulates (aerodynamic diameter <100 nm) occur during frying and boiling [50]. Zhang et al. showed that average concentrations of ultrafine, fine, and black carbon particles emitted during boiling and frying ranged from  $1.34 \times 10^4$  to  $6.04 \times 10^5$  particles/cm<sup>3</sup>, 10.0 to 230.9 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, and 0.1 to 0.8 µg/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively [51]. Chinese-style cooking has been identified as one of the major sources of indoor PM. It contributes up to 33% of indoor PM levels (PM<sub>0.5-5</sub>) [52]. The PM exposure level of indoor populations due to cooking and heating is related to fuel type, stove type, and population type [53–55]. Cooking behavior, such as using different types of aerosols, fuels, and exhaust fans or stove hoods, is also closely linked to the level of indoor PM pollution. A study showed that, when no stove hood was used, indoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> was at a sufficient concentration to affect potentially the health of children, even in non-cooking times [56].

Tobacco smoke from cigarettes, water pipes, and e-cigarettes is also a well-investigated source of indoor PM, which threatens the well-being of both smokers and other occupants. Drago et al. showed that concentrations of PM2.5 and several toxic trace elements were higher in smoker dwellings than non-smoker dwellings [57]. Braun et al. studied the effects of tobacco strength, measured by quantifying the amount of tar, nicotine, carbon monoxide, and different additives on the amount of PM [58]. This study included five cigarette types with different tobacco strengths, with or without additives, and a reference cigarette. Studies have found that incense is an important source of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), carbon monoxide, benzene, isoprene, PM2.5, and PM10 [5]. Lee and Wang observed that PM2.5 emission rates of different incense types varied considerably [59]. PM levels were directly proportional to concentrations of residuals in the cigarettes. Compared to tobacco smoke, incense smoke contains higher concentrations of PM. Lin et al. showed that incense burning generates PM >45 mg/g compared to 10 mg/g from cigarette burning [60]. Kumar et al. investigated PM levels generated from indoor incensesmoking activities, such as pre-burning, burning, and post-burning phases [61]. Incenses that they studied included sandalwood and floral sticks, dhoops (dhoops are an extruded incense, lacking a core bamboo stick), and mosquito coils (a mosquito coil is a mosquitorepelling incense). They showed that the mean concentrations of PM during the burning phase were highest. Concentrations ranged from 1300 to 1880 µg/m<sup>3</sup> for dhoops and from 214 to 259  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> for mosquito coils. The burning of floral incense had a higher PM concentration (700 to  $854 \ \mu g/m^3$ ) compared to the burning of sandalwood incense (99 to 114 $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>). With the increasing popularity of IQOS and e-cigarette devices among adolescents and adults, more and more studies have been conducted to explore the relationship between PM2.5 and e-cigarette aerosol. Studies have shown that indoor PM2.5 concentrations can rise to 197-818µg/m<sup>3</sup> during vaping [62]. The level of PM<sub>2.5</sub> is comparable to or even higher than those found in conventional cigarettes. IQOS smoking had little effect on indoor fine particulate matter (>300 nm) concentration or PM2.5 concentration. However, the concentration of ultrafine particles (25-300 nm) can be significantly increased [63]. Overall, PM emission during the burning of dhoops was higher than PM emission during the burning of sandalwood or floral incense and mosquito coils. This study also showed a higher PM emission during the post-burning phase of dhoops than sandalwood and floral incenses and mosquito coils, which indicated potential exposure even after the cessation of the burning phase of dhoops.

Besides indoor in-situ sources, outdoor PM can be transported into indoor environments through air movement. Particles in the outdoor environment enters the room through air flow, that is, through a combination of osmosis, natural (NV) and mechanical ventilation (MV). PM originates from a range of outdoor natural sources, including forest fires, soil dust, sea salt, the presence of pets and farm animals (i.e., animal debris), pollen, spores, plant debris, and bacteria. Anthropogenic outdoor PM sources, such as evaporative gasoline emissions from transport, oil combustion, and coal burning in power plants, contribute to indoor PM concentrations [2,31].

#### 2.2. Distribution Characteristics of Indoor PM

Distribution characteristics of PM include size, mass, mass, or particle concentration, and chemical or biological composition. The mass of PM is a major characteristic that affects its distribution. Microscopic particles with low density can remain airborne for extended periods and move freely from source to surrounding areas, reducing indoor and outdoor air quality [64]. Indoor PM burden may range from 15 to 259  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and from 3 to 202  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> for PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>, respectively [65]. Factors such as geographical location, air exchange efficiency, penetration and deposition rate, occupancy rate, and the particles' presence dictate indoor PM levels. Not all indoors are affected equally. For example, residents of a building living closer to ground level experience higher PM levels compared to occupants residing at higher levels [66].

Similarly, individuals located in cities with frequent dust storms have elevated indoor PM levels and are more susceptible to PM-associated diseases compared to residents of other cities [67,68]. The effect of human exposure to PM is especially significant in urban environments, where higher population density leads to higher pollutant generation and higher human exposure [69]. Densely populated urban centers curb black carbon emissions from fossil-fuel transport, household stoves, and space heating. The negative effects of this growing pollution have been enhanced by the continuous movement of people from rural to urban areas. Ventilation of the confined spaces plays a critical role in regulating indoor PM levels. Spaces equipped with mechanical ventilation or poor air conditioning systems have high infiltrated PM<sub>2.5</sub> levels from outdoors.

Chithra and Shiva Nagendra measured the temporal characteristics of PM concentrations inside a room in a naturally ventilated school building located near a roadway in Chennai, India [70]. They found that, during working hours, the number concentrations of PM inside the room were  $2.4 \times 10^5$ ,  $2.2 \times 10^3$ , and  $8.1 \times 10^2$  particles/dm<sup>3</sup> in size ranges of PM<sub>0.3-1</sub>, PM<sub>1-3</sub>, and PM<sub>3-10</sub> µm, respectively. Putaud et al. showed a difference in the chemical profiles of coarse and fine particulate fractions of aerosol PM [71]. The coarse PM was made up of mineral composites (e.g., crustal species, fly ash, and minor elements), sea salt, and black carbon. Fine particulates contained ammonium sulfate, ammonium nitrate, and organic compounds. The formation of secondary aerosols is a major factor that affects PM pollution. Both secondary organic and inorganic species, including sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium, in PM air samples collected from four regions in China [72]. They also showed the production of secondary organic aerosol species in three regions and suggested that aqueous-phase processing and photochemical reactions were occurring and they were possible causes for the production of the organic aerosols.

In addition to mass and size, biological composition is another important characteristic of indoor PM distribution. Indoor PM biological compositions, also known as bioaerosols, are solid or liquid particles carrying living organisms from biological sources, with sizes ranging from 0.1 mm to 100 mm in diameter [73]. Generally, their particle size distribution varies from the nucleation mode (<30 nm in vacuum cleaning condition) to the accumulation mode (~100 nm, indoor combustion aerosols from smoking, cooking, or incense burning), and to the fine and coarse modes (>1 µm, resuspension aerosols) [74,75]. They include biological allergens (e.g., animal dander and cat saliva, house dust, cockroaches, mites, and pollen) and microorganisms (viruses, fungi, and bacteria) [76–78]. Biological allergens, known as antigens, originate from a number of insects, animals, mites, plants, or fungi, and will induce an allergic state in reacting with specific immunoglobulin E antibodies. Indoor sources of allergens mainly include furred pets (dog and cat dander), house dust mites, molds, plants, cockroaches, and rodents [79], and there are outdoor sources as well [80]. Humans and animals are one of the dominant sources of bacteria in indoor environments, while fungi mostly originate from the outdoor environment [81– 83].

#### 2.3. Factors Influencing the Distribution of Indoor PM

Both indoor and outdoor environmental conditions influence indoor PM levels. Indoor factors, such as temperature, humidity, and air exchange rate and efficiency, are some of the important ones that dictate the indoor PM levels. Outdoor factors, such as weather, wind velocity, temperature, humidity, and solar radiation, influence indoor PM levels and secondary aerosol formation. For example, changes in temperature affect PM by influencing the change of chemical reaction rates and atmospheric mixing heights that affect the vertical dispersion of pollutants and modifying local wind and flow patterns that control the transportation of pollutants [84]. Meanwhile, differences in temperature indoors and outdoors also influence natural ventilation through the movement of air, and thus affecting indoor PM concentration [85]. With the increase of relative humidity, the resuspension rate of fine particulate matters decreased [86]. Several studies have demonstrated that air exchange rate has a significant effect on indoor PM concentrations under stable outdoor PM concentrations. In general, the higher air exchange rate was, the lower the indoor PM concentration was [87]. Seasonality is a major factor influencing the distribution of indoor PM. Indoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration is related to season and building type. The PM concentration in the heating season was significantly higher than that in the nonheating season [88,89]. Epidemiological data from urban and rural areas in developing and developed countries show a steep rise in airborne PM during autumn and winter compared to spring and summer. Although advances in technology have provided safe, conventional (electrical) cooking and heating methods in developed countries, the majority of the population from urban and rural areas in developing countries still relies on traditional heating (burning wood and coal) to keep homes warm. Huang et al. studied three urban areas of China (Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei) between 2013 and 2017 and showed that the average concentration of outdoor PM2.5 in the springtime (July) was 38.76 mg/m3; by winter (January), levels had risen to 133.10 mg/m<sup>3</sup>, which was 5.3 times above WHO air quality standards [90,91]. The use of conventional heating methods, such as gas and electricity, reduced indoor levels of PM25 in heating and non-heating seasons by 43% and 70%, respectively [54].

Meteorological factors during different seasons, such as wind velocity, precipitation, air and soil temperatures, and atmospheric and soil humidity, have noticeable relationships with the PM distribution. Deng et al. measured PM at heights of 121 and 454 m on the Canton Tower in China and showed that the vertical distributions of PM decreased with height [92]. Chen et al. studied the effects of SO<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> on secondary aerosol formation from unburned gasoline vapor and found that an increase in SO<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations (from 0 to 151 ppb and from 0 to 200 ppb, respectively) promoted the formation of secondary aerosols by a factor of 1.6 to 2.6 and 2.0 to 2.5, respectively [93]. They also reported that new particle formation and particle size growth were enhanced in SO<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub>. Increased solar radiation and intensity promote photochemical reactions that lead to the formation of secondary aerosols, thereby accelerating PM pollution and its distribution in the environment [72].

# 2.4. Unique Characteristics and Spatial-Temporal Distribution of Indoor PM

PM possesses unique characteristics, such as a small aerodynamic diameter, a large surface to volume ratio, a complex chemical profile, and a high toxicity index, which depend upon the origin and source of the PM. The large surface to volume ratio provides a natural platform for reactive chemicals and ionic species to undergo oxidative and reduction reactions (RedOx). The large surface area of the particles can harbor surrounding environmental pollutants and acts as a "carrier" for heavy metals (e.g., Cr, Pb, Hg, Cd, and As), organic pollutants such as polyaromatic hydrocarbons (PAH), heterocyclic amines, and inorganic minerals (e.g., Si, Al, Fe, Mn, Ca, Cl, and Zn) [89,94,95]. The microscopic size and aerodynamic diameter allow the particles or particle-bound contaminants to remain airborne and drift along with the winds to reach extreme distances. The chemical composition of indoor PM is complex because it comprises particles from indoor and outdoor origins. Indoor activities, such as cooking using solid fuels including coal, wood, dung, and kerosene, can liberate black-carbon soot (elemental carbon) and organic carbon (bound carbon). Other major factors affecting both indoor and personal exposure to PM include the penetration factor, air exchange rate, and particle deposition and

sedimentation, as well as human behavior [96]. Hung et al. studied indoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> in office spaces and showed an increase of 0.211  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and 0.226  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> per 1  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively, over outdoor levels [97]. Climatic and environmental factors affect both indoor and outdoor PM levels and composition.

Annual average levels of PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and PM<sub>1</sub> at observational heights of 121 m and 454 m above ground were 44.1, 38.2, and 34.9  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and 35.7, 30.4, and 27.5  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, respectively [98]. Spatio-temporal studies conducted on PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and PM<sub>1</sub> levels in Seoul (Korea) metropolitan subways showed a vertical distribution (going upwards from the ground) in the sequence PM<sub>10</sub> > PM<sub>2.5</sub> > PM<sub>1</sub>, and levels of fine particulates were uniform compared to coarse particles [99]. Moreover, the distribution was constant in summers compared to other seasons. Air quality monitoring studies conducted in confined and crowded spaces such as underground subways and metro stations often record a several-fold increase (4- to 6-fold) in indoor PM levels compared to ambient levels [99–101]. Human activities, such as primary or second-hand smoking, add airborne PM and nicotine to indoor microenvironments [9].

# 3. Pathways of Exposure to Indoor PM

As shown in Figure 4, potential sources for indoor PM include bioaerosols (plant, animal, bacteria, fungi, and viruses), combustion of fuel used for cooking and heating, and home or personal care products [102–104]. The diameter of these particles is in a range of 0.001-2000  $\mu$ m. Even though some consider that the amount of PM is more significant indoors than outdoors, the concentrations vary with location (e.g., urban or rural or proximity to roadsides) and with socio-economic factors of the population [104–107]. Smoking, cooking using gas and wood stoves, and cleaning are the major sources for elevated indoor levels of PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> [108]. This PM can enter the human body through inhalation, dermal absorption, or ingestion [106,109,110].



Figure 4. The main pathways of exposure to indoor PM.

#### 3.1. Respiratory Absorption

Respiratory absorption is the most common route of exposure. Both in an outdoor or indoor environment, PM in the air can get into the body via breathing through the nose, which is able to filter large particles, or the mouth, which is unable to perform that particular task [111–113]. Ample evidence demonstrates that the "personal cloud," which is resuspended house dust, is one of the major pathways of exposure for inhalation [113]. Passing through the pharynx and larynx, air-containing particles enter the trachea, which is connected to the left and right primary bronchi. Primary bronchi are further subdivided into bronchioles leading into alveolar ducts and sacs in the lung. It is estimated that

particles up to 100  $\mu$ m in aerodynamic diameter (Dae) present in the inhaled air can be deposited in the respiratory system [114]. When inhaled, the particles in the air come to equilibrium with body temperature and humidity, and, as a consequence, the movement of large particles becomes restricted [103]. Depending on the size of the inhaled particles, they are categorized as "extrathoracic," which cannot pass the larynx; "thoracic," which are particles that reach beyond the larynx (relates to particles with Dae < 10  $\mu$ m); and "respirable," which are particles that manage to pass into the pulmonary or alveolar region (relates to particles with Dae < 4  $\mu$ m) [114]. Fine particle pollution can enter the body through inhalation airflow, cross the respiratory tract and reach the alveoli, where it triggers an inflammatory response that reduces the immune system's ability to respond. Further, once in the lungs, PM can enter the bloodstream and spread to other organs.

The direction of the airflow within the respiratory system and the velocity of inhaled air impact particle deposition and diffusion. At the point of inhalation and when air comes into the tracheobronchial region, the linear velocity of the inhaled air can be relatively high. However, compression of residual gases within the alveolar sacs slows down the airflow speed, and, therefore, the velocity of the air in the alveolar region is minimal [110]. PM is composed of both soluble and insoluble particles, and it is assumed that the sizes of the particles get altered in the alveolar region due to their hygroscopic nature. Depending on the modifications to the PM, these could either be deposited in the lung or get removed with exhaled breath [115].

The United States Environmental Protection Agency (USEPA) states that only PM <  $10 \mu m$  has the ability to be deposited in the trachea-bronchial and alveolar regions, and, consequently, causes the maximum danger by way of inhalation [116]. However, during exercise, breathing is mostly done by the mouth, and, as a result, larger particles (PM > 10  $\mu$ m) can be deposited in the tracheobronchial airways [103]. Madureira et al. reported that 3-month old infants had 4-fold higher inhalation doses of ultra-fine particles than their mothers [112]. PM10 was predominantly deposited in the head region, whereas deposition of PM2.5 and ultra-fine particles occurred in the pulmonary area. Lower right lobes demonstrated a high susceptibility to respiratory problems because they received higher PM deposition than upper, lower left, and middle lobes. Meanwhile, the elderly is also a group susceptive to particulate matter, since they spend more time indoors. Almeida-Silva et al. used computational models to measure particle transport and deposition in the human respiratory tract of the elders [117]. The results shown that after 5 years of continuous exposure to the average particle concentration, 258 mg of all particles are deposited on the surface of the alveoli of which 79.6% are cleared, 18.8% are retained in the alveolar region, 1.5% translocate to the hilar lymph nodes, and 0.1% are transferred to the interstitium. Additionally, Segalin et al. found that respiratory deposition of PM2.5 was almost 25% higher in male than female elderly [118].

Whether or not bioaerosols are inhaled depends on their infectivity, airborne concentration, immunogenicity, and particle size [119]. Carpeted homes have higher concentrations of Al, As, and transition metals such as Cd and Cr than non-carpeted homes, which indicates the possibility of inhalation exposure of these elements [113].

Respiratory absorption can be summarized as follows: deposition of particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub>) in the upper respiratory tract, fine particles (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) in the lower respiratory tract, followed by ultrafine particles (UFPs < 100 nm) in alveoli. Few scientific data exist concerning human toxicity from inhaled fungal toxins [102]. Methodologies for measuring indoor bioaerosol exposure and health risk assessment are not well standardized and, therefore, additional studies are needed on exposure-disease and dose-response relationships in humans.

# 3.2. Cutaneous Absorption

Skin, which covers the entire human body, is considered the largest organ of the body. It is made up of three main layers: epidermis, dermis, and hypodermis [120]. PM in indoor air can be deposited easily onto the skin or absorbed through the skin because it is

exposed to the environment [121]. Dermal exposure also depends on dust adherence to skin, which is adapted from guidelines on dermal contact with soil and varies from 0.004 to 0.01 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> for different parts of the body of children indoors and from 0.02 to 0.80 mg/cm<sup>2</sup> for adults based on activity. Indoor environments are rich in semi-volatile organic compounds (SVOCs). Garrido et al. and Weschler et al. showed that the concentrations of SVOCs absorbed via direct air-to-skin dermal uptake could be comparable inhalation intake [39,122]. Williams et al. showed that perspiration-induced absorption of pesticides into the human body occurred through the dermal contact [123]. The epidermis stratum corneum is the outermost skin layer and is the primary barrier that prevents environmental pollutants from entering the body. Skin is the first defense immune system that protects the human body from toxic substances [120]. PM gets absorbed by percutaneous penetration, which causes local toxicity in the skin and systemic toxicity in other organs [124]. The four different ways that PM penetrates the skin are by mechanical delivery, an intracellular route, a transcellular route, and through the trans-follicular route [120]. Hair follicles that create pores in the skin help the PM to get into the body through the transfollicular route [125].

# 3.3. Hand-to-Mouth Behavior

The main pathways of human exposure to PM are skin exposure to PM and particle ingestion, hand-to-mouth behavior, and food containing. However, both the rate of particle ingestion and the size of particle ingested are highly uncertain. Finer particles can stick to the hands, so both ingestion and skin contact are major problems. However, there is little consensus on how small the particulates should be to stick to your hands, since the airborne particles range in size over five orders of magnitude (from about 0.001  $\mu$ m to about 100  $\mu$ m) [41]. Unsurprisingly, children consumed much higher rates of particulate matter than adults because they liked to play on the floor and put their hands and nonfood objects in their mouths more frequently. This behavior, combined with their smaller body size, makes exposure to chemicals through PM more important for children than for adults [126].

#### 3.4. Digestive System Absorption

Absorption of PM by the digestive system can occur in two ways: directly by diet (direct consumption of food and drinks that are enriched with PM) or indirectly into the gastrointestinal tract through the expulsion of particles removed from the lungs via mucociliary transport [127–130]. It is estimated that roughly 50% of the inhaled dose could reach the intestinal tract. Therefore, researchers have pointed out the necessity of recognizing ingestion as an essential human exposure pathway to PM pollution. Research needs to be conducted on both the ingestion mechanisms and constraints to ingestion [110]. The absorption of this PM can be the epithelial lining of the small intestine, colonic epithelium, or the stomach. Researchers have identified links between the ingestion of PM and different diseased conditions in the digestive tract, but, at present, data on the effect of PM on the digestive tract are elusive [128]. More research is needed to identify how the chemical nature and sizes of the particles affect the rate of absorption of PM along the digestive tract.

Wang et al. estimated the ingestion of tetrabromobisphenol A and eight bisphenol analogs, including bisphenol A, in 12 countries [131]. The highest median estimated daily intake (EDI) of bisphenols through dust ingestion was observed in Greece, Japan, and the U.S. They showed that the EDI for infants and toddlers was high, which indicated that dust ingestion was a significant exposure pathway.

It is difficult to quantify the amount of PM a person gets into the body by staying indoors. Whether the uptake is through inhalation, dermal exposure, or absorption through the digestive tract is a personalized matter, which varies with individual human beings and the particular indoor environment (home, school, office, or another type of working place) and the length of stay in the environment. The nature of the chemical and biological constituents of the PM also needs to be considered.

# 4. Characteristics of Indoor PM

The chemical composition of indoor PM is determined by the sources of PM and chemical processes that occur both indoors and outdoors. The primary constituents of PM include inorganic metallic compounds, organic compounds of biological origin, inorganic carbonaceous material (including black carbon and elemental carbon), sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, and other ions (Table 1).

		Composition							
Region	Level (µg/m³)	Carbon (µg/m³)	Non-Sea Salt Sulfate (NSS-SO4 <sup>2-</sup> ) (µg/m <sup>3</sup> )	Nitrate (NO₃-) (µg/m³)	Ammonium (NH₄⁺) (µg/m³)	Sea-Salt (µg/m³)	Mineral Dust (µg/m³)	Non-Dust Elements (µg/m³)	Refer- ences
A classroom in Xi'an, Northwestern China	VFPs = 35.4	11.94	3.60	0.94	0.20	0.52	0.38	0.15	[132]
Elementary schools in Curitiba, Brazil							7.41	0.18	[133]
Biology Department Building, University Kebangsaan Malaysia	PM10 = 271							3224.84	[134]
Indoor go-kart facili- ties	$PM_{10} = 4.9 - 34.9$ $PM_{2.5} = 2.3 - 29.2$						2.10	0.16	[135]
Nine offices in the province of Antwerp, Belgium	PM <sub>2.5</sub> = 0.09		2.33	0.82	0.76		0.73	0.073	[136]
Haidian district is close to the fourth ring road of Beijing	PM2.5		20.54	27.51	18.73	0.43	4.78		[137]
Broechem is a village (12 km <sup>2</sup> ) located in the province of Antwerp	PM <sub>2.5</sub> = 24.8 PM <sub>1</sub> = 15.7		36.4	45.7	22.1	4.7			[138]
A peri-urban area about 40 km from Rome	$PM_{2.5} = 16.7$ $PM_{10} = 27.6$	12.72	4	1.32	0.61	0.88	4.4	230.56	[139]
Located in the NE of the Iberian Peninsula	PM <sub>2.5</sub> = 37	11.3	1.4	0.72	0.48	0.34	9.76	0.075	[8]

Table 1. Selected references on the composition of indoor PM.

Note: Carbon include organic, elemental and carbonate carbon. The non-sea salt sulfate is calculated from the measured sulfate minus the sea-salt fraction of SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup>. Sea-salt concentrations are generally calculated from soluble sodium concentrations. Mineral dust is considered as the sum of Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2-</sup>, Ca, Fe, K, Mg and Mn. Non-dust elements correspond to the sum of the common measured trace elements (i.e., Cu, Ni, Pb, V, Zn) other than geological ones.

Chemical compounds in PM are grouped into various categories that consist of organic carbon (OC), elemental carbon (EC), carbonate carbon (CC), non-sea salt sulfate (NSS-SO4<sup>2–</sup>), nitrate (NO<sub>3</sub><sup>–</sup>), ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>), sea salt, mineral dust, and non-dust elements [140]. Generally, the NSS-SO4<sup>2</sup> fraction is estimated from the difference between the total sulfate and the sea-salt fraction of SO4<sup>2–</sup>. Sea-salt concentrations are estimated from soluble sodium concentrations [141]. Mineral dust components are determined by summing Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, SiO<sub>2</sub>, CO<sub>3</sub><sup>2–</sup>, Ca, Fe, K, Mg, and Mn. Non-dust elements include common trace elements (i.e., Cu, Ni, Pb, V, and Zn), and they are generally derived from atmospheric origin or attributed to atmospheric pollution [142]. Typically, indoor PM consists of approximately 50% organic carbon, 3% elemental carbon, 30% sulfates and nitrates, 15% ammonium ion and water, and 1% total metal content, with more than two-thirds of that being iron. Wang et al. noticed that NSS-sulfate in PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>25</sub> contributed 95% of the total sulfate in Guangzhou, China, suggesting a significant anthropogenic origin of the PM [143]. The primary sources of the sulfate in Guangzhou may be attributed to the release of SO<sub>x</sub> from sulfuric-acid-manufacturing industries and the generation of sulfate compounds in coal-fired power plants and their subsequent utilization in construction and agricultural industries. Some fraction of the SO<sub>x</sub> released through anthropogenic sources is oxidized to secondary aerosols of sulfate compounds [144].

The sources and composition of PM vary with PM particle size. PM<sub>10</sub> consists predominantly of insoluble, Earth-crust-derived compounds such as iron and aluminum oxides; biological materials such as pollen, fungi, and bacteria; and sea salts. PM<sub>2.5</sub> is derived mainly from combustion-related sources and consists of carbon, hydrocarbons, and sulfur and nitrogen [2].

In addition to PM, indoor air and ambient air also contain a range of gaseous pollutants that include carbon monoxide, sulphur dioxide, and nitrogen oxides derived from both indoor (e.g., combustion) and outdoor (e.g., bushfire) activities. Indoor PM is generally enriched with chemical additives, such as phthalate plasticizers, organophosphates, brominated flame retardants, and fluorinated surfactants used in products that are part of the indoor environment. These pollutants associated with PM cause health effects when the indoor occupants inhale PM.

The chemical elements in PM can be divided into two major groups: Earth-crust elements derived from soil (i.e., soil tracers) and introduced elements derived from human activities (i.e., anthropogenic tracers). Earth-crust elements include Na, Al, K, Mg, Ca, Fe, Ti, and Mn, which are derived primarily from geological sources, whereas V, Cr, Cd, Ni, Cu, Pb, Zn, As, Sn, and Se can be derived from both natural Earth-crust sources and anthropogenic sources [145]. These elements can be used to fingerprint the anthropogenic sources of indoor PM.

Several methods are used to fingerprint the most likely indoor PM [146,147]. For example, enrichment factors are calculated for individual elements in terms of their average concentration in the Earth's crust. Aluminum is commonly used as a reference for these elements because of the minor contribution of Al as a potential pollutant and its major contribution to the Earth's crust. The enrichment factor (EF) of an element *E* is defined according to Equation (1):

$$EF = (T/R)_{air}/(T/R)_{crust}$$
(1)

where *T* and *R* represent the concentrations of the tested and the reference element, respectively, if the EF approaches 1, then the Earth's crust is considered as the dominant source of the tested element. Considering the variation in the Earth-crust composition (Table 2), EF > 5 in PM indicates that non-crustal anthropogenic sources contribute a significant portion of PM's element.

Bester	E	F	6	Deferrer	
Region	Earth Crust/Soil	Earth Crust/Soil Non-Earth Crust		Keferences	
Universiti Kebangsaan	~1	Undefined sources, Crustal sources, Indoor-induced		[140]	
Malaysia, Building 1	<1	2-3	sources, urban origin sources and Earth's crust	[148]	
Universiti Kebangsaan	<i>_</i> 1	Undefined sources, Combustion sources, biogenic		[1/9]	
Malaysia, Building 2	llaysia, Building 2		sources, anthropogenic sources, crustal source	[140]	
León (Spain) university	~5	>10	Building materials, consumer products, and human	[1/0]	
cafeteria	<2	>10	activities	[149]	
Broochom is a village lo			Traffic and domestic heating, the harbour of Ant-		
cated in the province of	0.1–2.4	>100	0.1–2.4 >100 werp, a large petrochemical plant, a municipal wast incinerator, and a nonferrous plant to the south of		[138]
Antaicom Balairea					
Antwerp, beigium			Antwerp		

Table 2. Selected references on the enrichment ratio of various elements in indoor PM.

Nine offices in the prov- ince of Antwerp, Bel- gium	<10	10-1000	Outdoor influences, indoor respirable suspended particulates	[136]
Six schools located in Chañaral, Chile	5-20	<2	Industrial and mining activities	[136]
Residential and com- mercial buildings of Doha city, state of Qatar	1.04–3.03	1.94–63	Outdoor mineral particles, non-exhaust traffic emis- sion, industrial sources, the influence of indoor activ- ity such as smoking.	[135]
Guangzhou city, China	<5	10-1000	Coal combustion and sewage sludge incineration	[133]
Xian city, China	<5	10–30	Building construction, paved road dust, fresh soil	[150]

Earth crust elements or soil tracers and anthropogenic tracers; Earth crust elements: Na, Al, K, Mg, Ca, Fe, Ti and Mn; Non-Earth crust or anthropogenic: V, Cr, Cd, Ni, Cu, Pb, Zn, As, Sn, and Se.

For example, Alves et al. measured high EF values (>10) for Pb, As, Cu, and Zn in both indoor and outdoor PM, which suggested that there was a contribution of anthropogenic activities to both the outdoor and indoor environments [149]. The data also indicated that air infiltration affects the nature and composition of indoor PM. Furthermore, the mean outdoor concentrations of many of these metals for both coarse and fine PM exceed that of indoor PM, which indicates that air infiltration makes a significant contribution in lowering the enrichment of these metals in indoor PM [141].

Wang et al. noticed that Cd and Se exhibited the highest enrichment factors (>10,000) in indoor PM collected from the Guangzhou region. Pb, As, Sn, and Zn also showed high enrichment factors (>100) [143]. Ni, V, Cr, and Cu appeared to be moderately enriched (10 < EF < 100). The high enrichment of these elements indicates that non-crustal sources, including pollution emissions, contribute primarily to elemental loading in indoor PM. For example, the high ER for Cr reveals a range of pollution sources, including coal combustion and tannery sludge incineration [151]. While non-crustal V is derived mainly from heavy fuel oil combustion, metal smelting, and fossil fuel combustion are the likely sources of non-crustal volatile metals such as Cd, Zn, and Pb [152]. Furthermore, elements with high EF values such as Cr and V generally have low concentrations in PM. Elements originating from the Earth's crust and sea salt, such as Na, Mg, K, Ca, Ti, Mn, and Fe, have high concentrations in PM, but low enrichment factors (<5), which indicates an insignificant contribution from anthropogenic sources of these elements in PM; airborne Earthcrust dust is the primary source of these elements in PM [150].

# 5. Health Effects of Indoor PM

#### 5.1. Overall Impact

In the presence of indoor sources, PM levels can rise rapidly to several orders of magnitude greater than outdoor levels [153–155]. As a potential health hazard, indoor exposure to PM has received increased attention in recent years because people spend almost 90% of their time indoors [153]. Brauer et al. have found that 99% of the population in south and east Asia live in areas where the WHO Air Quality Guideline for PM2.5 is exceeded [156]. Exposure to indoor PM has been identified as the cause of respiratory infection, allergic symptoms, cardiovascular disease, adverse birth outcomes, and neurological and cognitive disorders [157–159]. Epidemiological studies have found that mortality and morbidity of respiratory diseases rose as the PM concentration increased [160,161]. Longterm exposure to PM less than 2.5 µm in diameter (PM2.5) is associated with chronic conditions such as cardiovascular and respiratory diseases and cerebrovascular complications, leading to reduced life expectancy [162]. Short-term exposure to PM2.5 can also cause a variety of health impacts including exacerbation of asthma and increases in respiratory and cardiovascular hospital admissions and mortality [162,163]. Additionally, it was also found that mortality generated by short-term PM2.5 exposure was influenced by season, region (urban and rural), and co-pollutants [164].

The main components of indoor PM are inhalable, which can penetrate the chest area of the respiratory system and cause adverse health effects [13]. Exposure to indoor PM will affect lung development, especially in children, including reversible deficits in lung function, chronically reduced lung growth rate, and a deficit in long-term lung function [13,165]. Moreover, some toxic pollutants, such as heavy metals and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), are also attached to the surface of indoor PM, and they pose a severe threat to human health [166,167]. PAHs adsorbed on the surface of PM have been shown to have carcinogenic and mutagenic effects [168]. Heavy metals and PAHs, individually or in concert, damage the double helix structure of DNA, leading to genetic mutations. MAC releases cytokines, such as growth factors, which alter the cell cycle and cause cells to divide forever. Thus, tumors can form. A survey has confirmed the presence of particulate polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs), such as bingo pyrene, in the air of Beijing. All air samples are highly mutagenic [169]. Even at low doses, heavy metals can have severe effects on neurodevelopment [170]. To control its adverse health impacts, it is essential to explore the composition of indoor PM. Studies have found that the elderly, children, and pregnant women are more susceptible to PM than others and PM concentrations vary in different indoor environments. As such, the further discussion of this issue is of great significance for removing indoor PM and reducing the exposure of susceptible groups to PM.

## 5.2. Harm of Main Components of PM to the Human Body

In general, toxicity of particulate matter refers to the absorption and distribution of chemical components of the particle, which, in addition to carcinogenicity and mutagenicity can cause adverse health effects throughout the body [171]. According to recent studies of indoor PM done at different places [172–174], the components of indoor PM can be roughly divided into metals (e.g., Fe, Ni, Zn, and V), inorganic compounds (e.g., sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium), and organic compounds (e.g., PAHs, volatile organic compounds (VOCs), and soot). Numerous studies have reported that some trace elements (e.g., Fe, Ni, Zn, V, Pb, As, Se, Cd, and Hg) may cause damage to cells, tissues, proteins, and DNA and change cell permeability by inducing the production of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [175–177]. For example, an extensive increase in ROS can be caused by Fe in vivo, causing oxidative damage and inflammation [178]. Gilli et al. found that oxidative DNA damage attributed to PM is also related to the Fe content [179]. Magnani et al. studied the effect of transition metals in air particles on pulmonary oxygen metabolism and found that Ni could cause metabolic changes [180]. Additionally, Cu, K, Mn, Zn, V, and Ni are associated with increased odds of hospital admission for cardiovascular disease, which can lead to death in severe cases [181-184].

Inorganic substances are also common pollutants in indoor air, among which nitrate, sulfate, and ammonium particles are representative. Most sulfate and nitrate in PM originate from the atmospheric oxidation of SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> emissions, mainly in the form of aerosols (e.g., (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, NH<sub>4</sub>HSO<sub>4</sub>, NH<sub>4</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>, and partially neutralized salts). Ammonium ions are mainly involved in the neutralization reaction of sulfuric acid and nitric acid. Sulfate in the atmosphere can increase the deposition of toxic compounds in the lungs, affecting breathing conditions. A 1% variation in sulfate was associated with 0.117% variation in respiratory diseases [14,185]. Further, sulfates increase ROS levels, and long-term exposure to sulfates may cause oxidative stress, increasing the risk of many vascular diseases [184]. In addition to sulfates, it was found that the increase of acute cardiovascular hospitalization rate was also related to the increase of nitrate concentration [186]. Additionally, the mortality rate of the elderly was significantly associated with nitrate concentrations [187,188].

In addition to the inorganic component, the organic part of PM is formed in a complex and poorly understood way, and it is also known as organic aerosols, such as PAHs, VOCs, and soot [174,189]. PAHs, which are rich in carbon and hydrophobic, easily cross cell membranes, and quickly enter cells. Then, the PAHs from a harmful intermediate inside the cell with a ring of active epoxides, and, when a gene mutates under the circumstances of a gene polymerase error, the PAHs randomly select locations in the genome, in some cases leading to cancer [190]. Long-term exposure can affect women's reproductive health, cause proteinuria, and even lead to lung cancer [191–193].

Another critical component, VOCs, are organic substances that can easily evaporate under ambient air conditions, and most of them are toxic. The VOCs can cause skin irritation, cancer, respiratory diseases, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, bronchial asthma, and systolic plus diastolic hypertension [194–196]. The increased concentration of VOCs will lead to decreased respiratory and lung function in children and cardiopulmonary dysfunction in susceptible populations [197].

The levels of PM found in the indoor environment are mainly brought in by ventilated airflows or produced by burning indoors for heating and cooking. Soot is formed through a series of reactions in which small free radicals (e.g., OH, O, H, CH, and CH<sub>2</sub>) cause chemically induced combustion and fuel decomposition, producing larger hydrocarbon free radicals and PAHs. Some soot is cytotoxic and has adverse effects on cardiovascular and lung health. PM penetrates deep into the lungs and enters the bloodstream, causing high blood pressure and damage to blood vessels. Once in the bloodstream, PM can spread to other organs, such as the heart, damaging their cellular structure and function [198].

Compared with PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub> with smaller particle sizes has larger specific surface area and larger adsorption capacity, and toxic heavy metals are more likely to bind to PM<sub>2.5</sub> [199], and so do acid oxides, organic pollutants and pathogenic microorganisms. Dacunto et al. found that the proportion of trace metal (transition metal) elements and carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in PM<sub>2.5</sub> was almost twice that of PM<sub>10</sub>. At least 60% of PM<sub>2.5</sub> –PM<sub>10</sub> is reported to be deposited on the outside of the chest [172,200].

Particles with a size ranging from 1 to 2.5 µm mainly deposited in bronchial and alveolar, and some particles remained in lung tissue for a long time, forming lung interstitial lesions. PM<sub>0.1</sub> can invade alveolar and stay in it, and then quickly enter blood circulation system through breathing, and finally flow into human kidney, liver, heart, brain, and other organs. In conclusion, PM<sub>2.5</sub> is more harmful to human health than PM<sub>10</sub>.

#### 5.3. Harm to Different Groups

Because of the different occupational and physical characteristics of people, their susceptibility to PM is also different. Therefore, it is necessary to explore the different reactions of diverse populations to PM.

Most epidemiological studies have focused on the health effects of indoor PM on susceptible populations, namely pregnant women, children, or the elderly [138]. For pregnant women with long-term exposure to high levels of PM, the developing human fetus may be at risks, such as adverse birth outcomes, preterm birth, term low birth weights [159,201], congenital disabilities, stillbirths, and respiratory disease [202–204]. Epidemiological studies have shown that exposure to pollutants in the indoor environment is associated with respiratory diseases in children, such as wheezing, asthma, and rhinitis, and it can lead to disease in later life [205-207]. Mousavi et al. showed that exposure to air pollution in childhood increased the susceptibility to Alzheimer's disease and Parkinson's disease in adulthood [208]. Not just for children, but also for the elderly, exposure to indoor PM may be the most significant public health burden in terms of risk to health [209,210]. Chen et al. studied residential areas with long-term exposure to PM2.5 and PM2.5-10 and found that the decline of lung function in the elderly (aged 65 years or older) was related to PM [211]. Recent studies suggest that PM is associated with low bone mineral density and osteoporosis-related fractures [212]. Exposure to PM is associated with cognitive deficits, oxidative stress, neuroinflammation, and neurodegeneration [213]. Several metals, including aluminum, arsenic, cadmium, lead, manganese, and mercury, have been shown to affect the nervous system, while the general accumulation of metal ions in the brain can exacerbate oxidative stress and neuronal damage [214].

In addition to the relatively severe impact on susceptible populations, there is damage to people who, unavoidably, have long-term exposure to high PM concentrations. Indoor cooking has been considered one of the most important indoor PM [215]. Studies have shown that emissions from cooking can harm human health, leading to lung toxicity, immune-toxicity, genotoxicity, and potential carcinogenicity in the human body [216– 218]. Particularly for individuals exposed to indoor cooking fumes, such as cooks, workers, and restaurant customers, health will be adversely affected [219]. Pan et al. suggested that kitchen staff is more likely to have oxidative stress than service area workers [220]. Bigert et al. found that female cooks, restaurant and kitchen assistants, and wait staff showed a statistically significant increase in myocardial infarction risk [221]. Welding can produce high amounts of fumes containing ultrafine particles with Mn [222]. Racette et al. observed that some might develop Parkinson's disease 17 years earlier than the general population in welding populations [223].

Studies have shown gender differences in indoor PM exposure. Sears et al. studied people living near coal-fired power plants with coal-ash-fly-storage facilities and found that women were more susceptible than men to impaired cognitive ability and associated with PM exposure [224]. Additionally, Gregory et al. disclosed that the distribution of multiple sclerosis prevalence was related to PM<sub>10</sub> concentration, especially in women [225]. In offices, female employees, and particularly those suffering from allergies, reported more sick-building-syndrome symptoms (e.g., sneezing, cough, tiredness, and irritability) than their male counterparts [226]. Contrary to the above, men are more likely than women to develop symptoms. In exploring the effects on the human brain of aerosols produced by exposure to electric frying, it was found that the aerosol response of the brain to electric frying occurred in males rather than females [227]. Weichenthal et al. analyzed the relationship between PM<sub>2.5</sub> and non-accidental cardiovascular mortality and found that male cardiovascular mortality may be related to PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure, while female cardiovascular mortality had no similar association [228]. The differences in PM symptoms between men and women may be related to gender-specific behavior patterns [229].

The range of the effect of PM on health is broad, but it mainly affects the respiratory tract in children and cardiovascular function in the elderly. The impact of PM on different populations shows a need to improve health in the general population, to control the sources of PM, and to raise awareness of the potential impact of household pollutants.

#### 6. Mitigation of Exposure to Indoor PM

#### 6.1. Standards of Indoor PM

To reduce exposure to indoor PM and its adverse health impacts, many national organizations and influential worldwide committees (e.g., WHO) have stipulated mass standards and guidelines that coincide with desired indoor air quality. Indoor air standards to evaluate an acceptable quality of air are generally defined by various agencies, causing significant regional differences. Dai et al. installed long-term IAQ sensors in 117 homes in all climate zones of China in order to able to measure indoor PM2.5 and CO2 concentrations consecutively [230]. Using this indoor and outdoor data, local polynomial regression fitting was used to determine the relationship between indoor CO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations and the corresponding outdoor parameters. Maleki et al. tested the potential of an artificial neural network (ANN) algorithm to estimate hourly air pollutant concentration parameters and two air quality indices, the air quality index (AQI) and the air quality health index (AQHI) [231]. Air quality often has negative health, socio-economic, agricultural, and political consequences. Meteorology and pollution sources are two basic factors affecting air quality. The method can be used to predict the spatial and temporal distribution of pollutants and air quality index. Main standards and guidelines formulated by global institutions are summarized in Table 3, and they guide the development of effective strategies.

Country	Value	Organization	Reference
China	0.15 mg/m <sup>3</sup> of PM10; 75 µg/m <sup>3</sup> of PM2.5	AQSIQ and CABR	[232] and (JGJ/T 309-2013)
Singapore	150 $\mu g/m^3(in\ office)\ ^{1a}$ of $PM_{10}$	Institute of Environmental Epi- demiology	[233]
Australia	N/A of PM2.5 90 µg/m³ of PM10	N/A The National Health and Medical Research Council	[234]
Canada	100 μg/m³ as 1 h average (Short-Term Exposure) 40 μg/m³ as 8 h average (Long-Term Exposure)	Health Canada	[235–238]
US	3 mg/m <sup>3</sup> as 8 h average (Ceiling Level) <sup>1b</sup> 35 μg/m <sup>3</sup> as 24 h average of PM <sub>2.5</sub> 15 μg/m <sup>3</sup> as 1 y average of PM <sub>2.5</sub> 150 μg/m <sup>3</sup> as 24 h average (Exposure) <sup>2a</sup>	American Conference of Govern- mental Industrial Hygienist, 2005. NAAQS/EPA ASHRAE	[236,237,239]
Finland	<20 µg/m <sup>3</sup> as 8 h average of PM10 4 mg/m <sup>3</sup> as 8 h average of PM10	FiSIAQ	[237]
Germany	50 µg/m³ as 24 h average of PM10	FiSIAQ	[236,240]
Worldwide	25 μg/m³ as 24 h average of PM2.5 10 μg/m³ as 1 y average of PM2.5 20 μg/m³ as 1 y average of PM10	WHO	[241,242]

<sup>1a</sup> Guidelines for good IAQ in office premises (Singapore); <sup>1b</sup> Ceiling Level: Highest possible allowed value for exposure (US, ACGIH); <sup>2a</sup> Exposure: It means a continual and repetitive contact with the substance over a set period (US, ASHRAE).

In China, the previous Indoor Air Quality Standard (GBT 17095-1997) only provided a limit for PM10 and stipulated that the daily permissible maximum concentration of PM10 should be 0.15 mg/m<sup>3</sup>. With increased knowledge about indoor air pollution, PM with sizes <2.5 and 10 µm (PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub>, respectively) are now both listed as common indoor air pollutants. A standard and guidelines (JGJ/T 309-2013), issued on 2 July 2013, require that the daily average concentration of indoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> should be  $<75 \,\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. As early as 1996, Singapore formulated a control standard for PM10 in office air, and the value was 150  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>[233]. However, a control standard for indoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Singapore is still lacking. In 2012, Canada established the Residential Indoor Air Quality Guidelines, which state that  $PM_{2.5}$  needs to be monitored, with a limit of 100 µg/m<sup>3</sup> as a 1 h average (Short-Term Exposure) and 40 µg/m<sup>3</sup> as an 8 h average (Long-Term Exposure). The National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS), set by USEPA, stipulates 35 µg/m<sup>3</sup> and 15 µg/m<sup>3</sup> for 24 h and annual periods, respectively, for exposure to PM25. For PM10, the US EPA [236] states that the permissible value is 150  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> over an average of 24 h. The United Kingdom, Finland, and Germany do not have PM2.5 monitoring [237]. However, these European countries follow the guidelines set by the WHO with values of 25  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> and 10  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> for 24 h and annual averages, respectively. Because various international institutions set these standards and guidelines, they are not in unity. Therefore, a comprehensive investigation should be carried out to develop uniform standards and practical strategies to mitigate exposure to indoor PM. Mass concentration predictions have a key role to play in making decisions about atmospheric resources [243]. This has had adverse health effects, such as high morbidity and mortality rates from cardiovascular and respiratory diseases. For this cause, it is crucial to avoid air pollution in advance by improving air quality protection and ensuring effective environmental monitoring. This is very critical for people's daily safety and the government's decision-making on air quality regulation.

# 6.2. Effective Removal Technologies of Indoor PM

Current particulate removal technologies mainly involve filtration, adsorption, electrostatic dust removal, and the negative ion and plasma (NIP) method (Table 4). They have been applied in various aspects of life to improve air quality where humans live and work.

Theory	Theory Application	
	Bag type dust collector	[244]
Filtration	Ultralow penetration air filters	[245]
FILLATION	Pulse-jet cleaning of bag filters	[246]
	Triboelectric air filter	[247]
Adsorption	Carbon-based materials	[248]
	Wet electrostatic precipitators	[249]
Electrostatic	Tube electrostatic precipitator	[250]
	(R-TENG)-enhanced PI-nanofiber air filter	[251]
NIP Technology	NIP Technology Plasma dust collector	

Table 4. Application of Removal Technologies.

Generally, filtration is typically installed to eliminate PM prior to other abatement technology [253]. Five principles for removal are used in filter technology, including interception, diffusion, inertia, gravity, and the electrostatic force (Figure 5) [244]. In particulate removal by filtration, bag type dust collectors, particle layer dust collectors, and carbon-based air filters are three typical, traditional methods [245,254,255]. Adsorption is often used in conjunction with filter-particulate removal in air purifiers to reach superior indoor air quality. Disadvantages include a compromised efficiency at a high relative humidity [256], the need for periodic replacement of adsorbents to prevent re-entry of waste pollutants into the atmosphere, and that airborne bacteria may thrive on carbon sorbents.

Some carbon-based materials, e.g., activated carbon fiber and granular activated carbon, with the virtues of high density, low ash content, and high absorption capacity, have been widely used for removing molecules, gases, and vapors from indoor air [248].

Electric-collection technology can be applied in filtration technology to improve the efficiency of filter-particulate removal. By applying electrostatic forces, air filters can capture PM without high-density micropores, thus leading to a sharply decreased filter thickness and higher filtration removal efficiency [257]. On the basis of these previous findings, a high-efficiency rotating TENG (R-TENG) enhanced polyimide (PI) nanofiber air filter was developed for PM removal in ambient atmospheres by Gu et al. [251]. (TENG stands for triboelectric nanogenerator.) Charged positively by the R-TENG, an electric field was formed around a stainless-steel mesh and PI-nanofiber, thus significantly improving the PM-capturing efficiency of the filter due to electrostatic filtration [258]. However, electronic filters can generate hazardous charged particles, an obstacle for the extensive application of electrostatic-particulate-removal technology [259].



Figure 5. The five main capturing mechanisms for various kinds of PM (Source reference: [244]).

In addition to hazardous gases, indoor particles also can carry large amounts of harmful viruses and bacteria. Therefore, to ensure indoor human health and reduce noise pollution from filtration, new particulate-removal technologies that can provide such problems are imperative. Negative ion and plasma (NIP) methods are being developed due to their excellent ultra-fine particle removal and sterilization without making noise. The principles of the NIP methods are similar. Both are charged by an external force to neutralize the particles and coalesce them to form larger particles that settle [260]. Nowa-days, the main application of the NIP method is plasma dust collection [252]. However, the PM is not removed but only adheres to the nearby surface, and it is easy to raise dust again. Therefore, the widespread application of the negative ion and plasma method is restricted.

#### 6.3. Strategies to Reduce Exposure to Indoor PM

Rational and effective methods need to be taken to mitigate exposure to indoor PM for the sake of human health and a comfortable indoor environment. Based on the characteristics and sources of indoor PM, control strategies can be targeted at two sources: ambient PM and domestic PM.

#### 6.3.1. Control Strategies for Ambient PM

# (1) Building ventilation

Ventilation of households is crucial to bring indoor PM concentrations to levels similar to those of outdoors [261]. Specific measures should be considered, based on the ventilation types of buildings, which are identified as being natural, mechanical, or a mixed type of ventilation. Buildings with mechanical ventilation systems (air conditioners) show a minimum concentration of particles, which indicates that adequate household ventilation can improve indoor air quality. Building ventilation (interventions) is beneficial to mitigate indoor PM exposure, but they always incur a high energy expenditure cost. Therefore, an optimized building intervention model is needed to establish maximum efficiency and minimum energy cost [262]. When outdoor PM25 concentration is high, doors and windows should be closed. The key of the method is real-time monitoring of indoor and outdoor PM2.5. Also important is improving air distribution, increasing ventilation rate, fresh air (filtration) volume, regularly cleaning and disinfecting air-conditioning system, etc. In buildings with centralized or semi-centralized air-conditioning systems, the use of fresh air systems can reduce indoor PM2.5. Some experts believe that for public buildings, air purification facilities could be equipped in existing fresh air units, return air inlet, and blast pipes, and air cleaners also could be used directly there [261].

(2) Climate and season

In some regions, climatic and seasonal characteristics are distinct, such as in the east and west of the USA and southeast China. The variations can be used to mitigate exposure to indoor PM [263–265]. In China in the summer, the prevailing wind direction from the south will bring clean air from the ocean. As such, at this time, citizens should open windows and doors frequently to promote clean air exchange. In winter, windows and doors should be closed as far as possible. Industrial emissions must be reduced. The coal should be desulfurized before burning. Boilers of 30 t/h should be phased out as soon as possible. In North China, central heating should be promoted.

#### (3) Traffic and industries

With rapid urbanization and industrialization, particles generated by traffic and industries have aggravated the indoor air environment. To handle air pollution in heavily trafficked areas, enough urban greenspace should be guaranteed to reduce indoor levels of PM, especially PM<sub>2.5</sub> [266]. In addition, vehicle emission must be controlled, and emission standards must be strictly followed. Additionally, vehicles that use new-energy technology ought to be promoted. Urban greenery and other protective procedures should be enhanced where traffic is heavy. Finally, the upgrading of petroleum refining should be accelerated to prepare for the improvement of motor gasoline and diesel.

Industrial and agricultural emissions are the two primary sources of particles that need to be reduced. Increased use of clean fuels and effective dust extraction is crucial so that industries and agriculture can reduce the generation and emission of PM. Removal of industrial dust is an issue that people are increasingly concerned about because of its health effects on humans, especially workers in factories. Nanofibrous filters, produced by electrospinning, have increasingly been used in air filtration products due to their high surface area and micro-porosity, which improve the entrapment of PM [267]. Liu et al. synthesized a transparent air filter by electrospinning, which achieved high ventilation and PM<sub>2.5</sub> filtration efficiency (>95.0%) [268].

## 6.3.2. Control Strategies for Indoor PM

(1) Smoking

The first strategy is to control smoking. The government and relevant departments should actively promote the smoking ban and enhance the public's health awareness. In public places, such as train stations and restaurants, there should be smoking and nonsmoking areas and a reasonable division of functional areas to avoid cross-contamination. One study showed that Ontario's smoking ban saved five to seven non-smokers working in bars \$5–6.8 million a year [269]. With the development of e-cigarettes, more teens are jumping on the bandwagon and using them; even though levels of some potentially harmful ingredients from e-cigarettes are significantly lower than combustible cigarette, this does not mean that e-cigarette aerosols are "harmless vapour" as industry has claimed in the past [270]. The effects of SHA vaping exposure are largely unknown. E-cigarettes are not emission-free and their pollutants can reduce indoor air quality because the air exhaled by e-cigarette smokers contains dangerous chemicals. There is a potential health concern of SHA exposure via both respiration and dermal absorption. In particular, ultrafine particles formed from supersaturated 1,2-propanediol vapour can be deposited in the lung, while atomized nicotine appears to increase the release of inflammatory signaling molecule NO after inhalation.

Overall, the increased use of e-cigarettes is worrisome and restrictions on tobacco marketing should be implemented to better protect the health of the general public. Future research should specifically focus on the long-term adverse effects of e-cigarettes on the cardiovascular system or respiratory diseases and cancer, as there is still a lack of strong evidence. There is no doubt, however, that quitting smoking is and will continue to be the most powerful way to prevent the cardiovascular and respiratory diseases caused by smoking and to protect people's health [271].

(2) Cooking

Natural gas cooking has been identified as one of the predominant sources of indoor PM in developed countries [51,215,272–276]. Therefore, the development of methods to control PM generated from cooking is imperative. Kitchens must be ventilated to promote air exchange during cooking. Traditional solid fuels, such as straw, coal, and asphalt, must be replaced by clean energy as much as possible. Natural gas, methane, and electricity are good clean-energy options. Stoves must be maintained in functional order, especially in rural areas [277]. Cooking habits should be improved, and exhaust hoods are crucial for control of indoor PM during cooking [261]. Different cooking methods and ingredients, such as preferring safflower oil to olive oil. Adding salt and pepper early in cooking can also reduce the emission of PM.

(3) Indoor activities

Apart from cooking, indoor activities should be carried out to reduce PM. People should clean households regularly to remove the origins of indoor PM. Regular cleaning of the house, reasonable choices of decoration, as far as possible not keeping pets, and burning incense less, are considered good habits. Branco et al. demonstrated that improving the ventilation rate with convenient cleaning decreased the indoor PM concentration in a nursery from  $79 \pm 22 \ \mu g/m^3$  to  $64 \pm 15 \ \mu g/m^3$ , which showed that regular indoor cleaning could control indoor PM [278].

(4) Indoor layout

Interior decoration, such as house plants, can improve indoor air quality and reduce indoor PM [266]. Previous studies have confirmed that the impact of woodland with rough surface on PM<sub>2.5</sub> is much greater than that of grassland, while grassland has historically had a smaller effect on PM<sub>2.5</sub> reduction [191,279]. However, tree-grass configurations contributed much more than tree only configurations for horizontal PM<sub>2.5</sub> reduction, indicating that grassland is playing a contributory role in reducing atmospheric PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Vegetation has the irreplaceable role of adsorbing dust and removing harmful substances in the air. Leaf structure can be chosen for the deposition of dust [280,281]. Trees remove gas pollution mainly through leaf stomata, although some of the gas is removed from the plant's surface. Once inside the leaf, the gas diffuses into the intercellular space and may be absorbed by the water membrane to form acids or react with the inner surface of the leaf.

Trees also eliminate pollution by intercepting airborne particles. Some particles can be absorbed by the tree, but most of the trapped particles remain on the surface of the plant. The intercepted particle is often suspended back to the atmosphere, washed away by rain, or dropped to the ground with leaf and twig fall. A widely accepted view is that trees with large leaf area density and the turbulent air movement caused by their structure can capture particulate matter. Leaves provide surfaces for removing pollutants through wet and dry deposition, adsorption, and absorption. Local decreases of temperatures may modify the rate of chemical reactions, leading to decreased ozone concentrations [282]. Green plant species differ in their ability to purify particulate air pollution. Appropriate indoor green plants for air purification are orchids, caladium, and red back laurel. Leaf hairs can trap and absorb floating particles and smoke in the air. Active green walls use ornamental plants growing along the vertical plane, coupled with mechanical air induction, to actively attract polluted air through the plant growth substrate and leaves. Additionally, with improved living standards, urban residents might buy air cleansers to reduce indoor PM levels and improve indoor air quality. HEPA air purifiers are effective at reducing the concentration of indoor air particles [283]. HEPA filter-type small air purifiers demonstrate relatively high particle removal performance, based on the high singlepass collection efficiency of the HEPA filters (>99.97% for 0.3 µm particles).

# 7. Conclusions

PM includes a mixture of solid and liquid particles suspended in air, and these particles can vary in size, shape, and chemical composition. Particles that are less than  $10 \, \mu m$ in diameter (PM10 and PM2.5) are of major concern, because they are inhalable, thereby impacting the heart and lungs, and are associated with respiratory diseases, including asthma, chronic bronchitis, and acute bronchitis. Indoor PM is more harmful to special populations, such as the elderly, children, and pregnant women. Indoor PM originates mainly from combustion activities and the regular wearing of household furniture. It also is derived from outdoor sources, including dust particles. PM can be enriched with inorganic and organic contaminants, including toxic heavy metals and carcinogenic, volatile organic compounds. To reduce exposure to indoor PM and its adverse health impacts, the government and industry should formulate detailed and uniform indoor PM control standards based on abundant research. From a practical point of view, indoor air-purifier technologies involving electrostatic precipitation and filtration, as well as natural ventilation, are most commonly adopted to control concentrations of indoor PM. Additionally, regular indoor cleaning and suitable interior decoration, such as house plants and air purifiers, also significantly influence indoor air quality and reduce indoor PM.

Given the current knowledge about the sources, distribution, pathways, characteristics, and health effects of indoor PM, the following research areas should be pursued:

- Development and adoption of advanced technologies, such as the tapered element oscillating microbalance (TEOM), X-ray fluorescence (XRF), and inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS), to quantify and fingerprint sources of indoor PM.
- Characterization and monitoring of bioaccessibility of inorganic and organic contaminants in indoor PM.
- Studies on mucosal interactions of indoor PM and associated contaminants concerning their toxicity.
- Development and evaluation of advanced PM removal technologies involving electrostatic precipitation to mitigate the health impacts of indoor PM.
- As soon as possible, the government and industry should formulate detailed and uniform indoor PM control standards, based on many investigations.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, L.Z., C.O., Y.Y. and N.B.; writing—original draft, L.Z., C.O., D.M.-A., K.S.V., T.P., H.W. and K.M.; writing—review and editing, M.V., Y.Y., N.B. and M.B.K.; visualization, L.Z., C.O., M.V. and Y.Y.; data curation, L.Z., H.W., K.S.V. and T.P.; funding acquisition, L.Z. and C.O.; supervision, N.B. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

**Funding:** This research was funded by "National Natural Science Foundation of China, No. 51708302", "Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu higher Education Institution of China, No. 17KJB610008 and No. 19KJD610002", and "Open Funds of Jiangsu Key Laboratory for Biomass-based Energy and Enzyme Technology, No. BEETKC1906".

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Not applicable.

**Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare no conflict of interest.

# References

- 1. Kim, K.H.; Kabir, E.; Kabir, S. A review on the human health impact of airborne particulate matter. *Environ. Int.* 2015, 74, 136–143.
- Adams, K.; Greenbaum, D.S.; Shaikh, R.; van Erp, A.M.; Russell, A.G. Particulate matter components, sources, and health: Systematic approaches to testing effects. J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc. 2015, 65, 544–558.
- 3. Nasir, Z.A.; Colbeck, I. Particulate pollution in different housing types in a UK suburban location. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2013**, 445, 165–176.
- 4. Mohankumar, S.; Senthilkumar, P. Particulate matter formation and its control methodologies for diesel engine: A comprehensive review. *Renew. Sustain. Energy Rev.* 2017, *80*, 1227–1238.
- 5. Bootdee, S.; Chantara, S.; Prapamontol, T. Determination of pm2.5 and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons from incense burning emission at shrine for health risk assessment. *Atmos. Pollut. Res.* **2016**, *7*, 680–689.
- Coombs, K.C.; Chew, G.L.; Schaffer, C.; Ryan, P.H.; Brokamp, C.; Grinshpun, S.A.; Adamkiewicz, G.; Chillrud, S.; Hedman, C.; Colton, M.; et al. Indoor air quality in green-renovated vs. non-green low-income homes of children living in a temperate region of US (Ohio). *Sci. Total Environ.* 2016, 554, 178–185.
- McNamara, M.; Thornburg, J.; Semmens, E.; Ward, T.; Noonan, C. Coarse particulate matter and airborne endotoxin within wood stove homes. *Indoor Air* 2013, 23, 498–505.
- 8. Rivas, I.; Viana, M.; Moreno, T.; Pandolfi, M.; Amato, F.; Reche, C.; Bouso, L.; Alvarez-Pedrerol, M.; Alastuey, A.; Sunyer, J.; et al. Child exposure to indoor and outdoor air pollutants in schools in Barcelona, Spain. *Environ. Int.* **2014**, *69*, 200–212.
- Russo, E.T.; Hulse, T.E.; Adamkiewicz, G.; Levy, D.E.; Bethune, L.; Kane, J.; Reid, M.; Shah, S.N. Comparison of indoor air quality in smoke-permitted and smoke-free multiunit housing: Findings from the Boston Housing Authority. *Nicotine Tob. Res.* 2015, 17, 316–322.
- Jones, R.R.; Hogrefe, C.; Fitzgerald, E.F.; Hwang, S.A.; Ozkaynak, H.; Garcia, V.C.; Lin, S. Respiratory hospitalizations in association with fine PM and its components in New York State. J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc. 2015, 65, 559–569.
- 11. Ostro, B.; Roth, L.; Malig, B.; Marty, M. The Effects of Fine Particle Components on Respiratory Hospital Admissions in Children. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2009**, *117*, 475–480.
- 12. Wichmann, F.A.; Muller, A.; Busi, L.E.; Cianni, N.; Massolo, L.; Schlink, U.; Porta, A.; Sly, P.D. Increased asthma and respiratory symptoms in children exposed to petrochemical pollution. *J. Allergy Clin. Immun.* **2009**, *123*, 632–638.
- 13. Liu, H.Y.; Dunea, D.; Iordache, S.; Pohoata, A. A Review of Airborne Particulate Matter Effects on Young Children's Respiratory Symptoms and Diseases. *Atmosphere* **2018**, *9*, 150.

- 14. Reiss, R.; Anderson, E.L.; Cross, C.E.; Hidy, G.; Hoel, D.; McClellan, R.; Moolgavkar, S. Evidence of health impacts of sulfateand nitrate-containing particles in ambient air. *Inhal. Toxicol.* **2007**, *19*, 419–449.
- 15. Hoffmann, B.; Moebus, S.; Mohlenkamp, S.; Stang, A.; Lehmann, N.; Dragano, N.; Schmermund, A.; Memmesheimer, M.; Mann, K.; Erbel, R.; et al. Residential exposure to traffic is associated with coronary atherosclerosis. *Circulation* **2007**, *116*, 489–496.
- 16. Pope, C.A.; Burnett, R.T.; Thurston, G.D.; Thun, M.J.; Calle, E.E.; Krewski, D.; Godleski, J.J. Cardiovascular mortality and long-term exposure to particulate air pollution-Epidemiological evidence of general pathophysiological pathways of disease. *Circulation* **2004**, *109*, 71–77.
- 17. WHO (World Health Organization). 9 out of 10 People Worldwide Breathe Polluted Air, but More Countries are Taking Action. Available online: http://www.who.int/news-room/detail/02-05-2018-9-out-of-10-people-worldwide-breathe-polluted-air-but-more-countries-are-taking-action (accessed on 6 September 2018).
- 18. Jeon, Y.M.; Lee, M.Y. Airborne nanoparticles (PM<sub>0.1</sub>) induce autophagic cell death of human neuronal cells. *J. Appl. Toxicol.* **2016**, 36, 1332–1342.
- 19. Oberdorster, G. Pulmonary effects of inhaled ultrafine particles. Int. Arch. Occup. Environ. Health 2001, 74, 1-8.
- Lai, H.K.; Kendall, M.; Ferrier, H.; Lindup, I.; Alm, S.; Hanninen, O.; Jantunen, M.; Mathys, P.; Colvile, R.; Ashmore, M.R.; et al. Personal exposures and microenvironment concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub>, VOC, NO<sub>2</sub> and CO in Oxford, UK. *Atmos. Environ.* 2004, 38, 6399–6410.
- 21. Zaidi, S.M.A.; Moin, O.; Khan, J.A. Second-hand smoke in indoor hospitality venues in Pakistan. *Int. J. Tuberc. Lung Dis.* **2011**, 15, 972–977.
- 22. Smith, K.R. National burden of disease in India from indoor air pollution. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA 2000, 97, 13286–13293.
- Simoni, M.; Carrozzi, L.; Baldacci, S.; Scognamiglio, A.; di Pede, F.; Sapigni, T.; Viegi, G. The Po River Delta (north Italy) indoor epidemiological study: Effects of pollutant exposure on acute respiratory symptoms and respiratory function in adults. *Arch. Environ. Health* 2002, 57, 130–136.
- 24. Yamamoto, S.S.; Phalkey, R.; Malik, A.A. A systematic review of air pollution as a risk factor for cardiovascular disease in South Asia: Limited evidence from India and Pakistan. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* **2014**, 217, 133–144.
- You, S.M.; Wan, M.P. Experimental investigation and modelling of human-walking-induced particle resuspension. *Indoor Built* Environ. 2015, 24, 564–576.
- 26. WHO (World Health Organization). WHO Guidelines for Indoor Air Quality: Selected Pollutants; WHO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2010.
- Breysse, P.N.; Diette, G.B.; Matsui, E.C.; Butz, A.M.; Hansel, N.N.; McCormack, M.C. Indoor air pollution and asthma in children. Proc. Am. Thorac. Soc. 2010, 7, 102–106.
- Destaillats, H.; Maddalena, R.L.; Singer, B.C.; Hodgson, A.T.; McKone, T.E. Indoor pollutants emitted by office equipment: A review of reported data and information needs. *Atmos. Environ.* 2008, 42, 1371–1388.
- 29. Holmes, H.A.; Pardyjak, E.R.; Speckart, S.O.; Alexander, D. Comparison of indoor/outdoor carbon content and time resolved PM concentrations for gas and biomass cooking fuels in Nogales, Sonora, Mexico. *Atmos. Environ.* **2011**, 45, 7600–7611.
- Waring, M.S. Secondary organic aerosol in residences: Predicting its fraction of fine particle mass and determinants of formation strength. *Indoor Air* 2014, 24, 376–389.
- Karagulian, F.; Belis, C.A.; Dora, C.F.C.; Pruss-Ustun, A.M.; Bonjour, S.; Adair-Rohani, H.; Amann, M. Contributions to cities' ambient particulate matter (PM): A systematic review of local source contributions at global level. *Atmos. Environ.* 2015, 120, 475–483.
- Paschold, H.; Li, W.W.; Morales, H.; Walton, J. Laboratory study of the impact of evaporative coolers on indoor PM concentrations. *Atmos. Environ.* 2003, 37, 1075–1086.
- McNamara, M.L.; Thornburg, J.; Semmens, E.O.; Ward, T.J.; Noonan, C.W. Reducing indoor air pollutants with air filtration units in wood stove homes. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2017, 592, 488–494.
- 34. Molchanov, O.; Krpec, K.; Horak, J. Electrostatic precipitation as a method to control the emissions of particulate matter from small-scale combustion units. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2020**, *246*, 119022.
- 35. Cai, J.; Yu, W.; Li, B.Z.; Yao, R.M.; Zhang, T.J.W.; Guo, M.; Wang, H.; Cheng, Z.; Xiong, J.; Meng, Q.Y.; et al. Particle removal efficiency of a household portable air cleaner in real-world residences: A single-blind cross-over field study. *Energy Build*. **2019**, 203,109464.
- Isiugo, K.; Jandarov, R.; Cox, J.; Ryan, P.; Newman, N.; Grinshpun, S.A.; Indugula, R.; Vesper, S.; Reponen, T. Indoor particulate matter and lung function in children. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2019, 663, 408–417.
- 37. Ferro, A.R.; Kopperud, R.J.; Hildemann, L.M. Source strengths for indoor human activities that resuspend particulate matter. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2004**, *38*, 1759–1764.
- Oliveria, M.; Slezakova, K.; Delerue-Matos, C.; Pereira, M.C.; Morais, S. Children environmental exposure to particulate matter and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and biomonitoring in school environments: A review on indoor and outdoor exposure levels, major sources and health impacts. *Environ. Int.* 2019, 124, 180–204.
- Weschler, C.J.; Nazaroff, W.W. Dermal Uptake of Organic Vapors Commonly Found in Indoor Air. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2014, 48, 1230–1237.
- Hassanvand, M.S.; Naddafi, K.; Faridi, S.; Arhami, M.; Nabizadeh, R.; Sowlat, M.H.; Pourpak, Z.; Rastkari, N.; Momeniha, F.; Kashani, H.; et al. Indoor/outdoor relationships of PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub>, and PM<sub>1</sub> mass concentrations and their water-soluble ions in a retirement home and a school dormitory. *Atmos. Environ.* **2014**, *82*, 375–382.

- 41. Morawska, L.; Afshari, A.; Bae, G.N.; Buonanno, G.; Chao, C.Y.H.; Hanninen, O.; Hofmann, W.; Isaxon, C.; Jayaratne, E.R.; Pasanen, P.; et al. Indoor aerosols: From personal exposure to risk assessment. *Indoor Air* **2013**, *23*, 462–487.
- 42. Salje, H.; Gurley, E.S.; Homaira, N.; Ram, P.K.; Haque, R.; Petri, W.; Moss, W.J.; Luby, S.P.; Breysse, P.; Azziz-Baumgartner, E. Impact of neighborhood biomass cooking patterns on episodic high indoor particulate matter concentrations in clean fuel homes in Dhaka, Bangladesh. *Indoor Air* **2014**, *24*, 213–220.
- 43. Sumpter, C.; Chandramohan, D. Systematic review and meta-analysis of the associations between indoor air pollution and tuberculosis. *Trop. Med. Int. Health* **2013**, *18*, 101–108.
- 44. Semmens, E.O.; Noonan, C.W.; Allen, R.W.; Weiler, E.C.; Ward, T.J. Indoor particulate matter in rural, wood stove heated homes. *Environ. Res.* 2015, *138*, 93–100.
- 45. Schneider, J.; Rodelsperger, K.; Bruckel, B.; Kleineberg, J.; Woitowitz, H.J. Pleural mesothelioma associated with indoor pollution of asbestos. *J. Cancer Res. Clin.* **2001**, 127, 123–127.
- 46. Perez, A.L.; Nelson, M.L.; Cheng, T.J.; Comerford, C.E.; Scott, P.K. A meta-analysis of airborne asbestos fiber concentrations from work with or around asbestos-containing floor tile. *Int. J. Occup. Environ. Health* **2018**, *24*, 134–148.
- 47. Branis, M.; Rezacova, P.; Domasova, M. The Effect of Outdoor Air and Indoor Human Activity on Mass Concentrations of PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>1</sub> in a Classroom. *Environ. Res.* **2005**, *99*, 143–149.
- 48. Tran, V.V.; Park, D.; Lee, Y.-C. Indoor Air Pollution, Related Human Diseases, and Recent Trends in the Control and Improvement of Indoor Air Quality. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2020**, *17*, 2927.
- 49. Yu, K.-P.; Yang, K.R.; Chen, Y.C.; Gong, J.Y.; Chen, Y.P.; Shih, H.-C.; Lung, S.-C.C. Indoor air pollution from gas cooking infive Taiwanese families. *Build. Environ.* **2015**, *93*, 258–266.
- 50. Huboyo, H.S.; Tohno, S.; Cao, R.Q. Indoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> Characteristics and CO Concentration Related to Water-Based and Oil-Based Cooking Emissions Using a Gas Stove. *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.* **2011**, *11*, 401–411.
- Zhang, Q.F.; Gangupomu, R.H.; Ramirez, D.; Zhu, Y.F. Measurement of Ultrafine Particles and Other Air Pollutants Emitted by Cooking Activities. Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health 2010, 7, 1744–1759.
- 52. Liao, C.M.; Shen, S.C.; Chen, J.W.; Liang, H.M. Contribution of Chinese-style cooking and incense burning to personal exposure and residential PM concentrations in Taiwan region. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2006**, *358*, 72–84.
- Gurley, E.S.; Homaira, N.; Salje, H.; Ram, P.K.; Haque, R.; Petri, W.; Bresee, J.; Moss, W.J.; Breysse, P.; Luby, S.P. Indoor exposure to particulate matter and the incidence of acute lower respiratory infections among children: A birth cohort study in urban Bangladesh. *Indoor Air* 2013, 23, 379–386.
- 54. Li, T.; Cao, S.; Fan, D.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, B.; Zhao, X.; Leaderer, B.P.; Shen, G.; Zhang, Y.; Duan, X. Household concentrations and personal exposure of PM<sub>2.5</sub> among urban residents using different cooking fuels. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2016**, *548*, 6–12.
- 55. Njenga, M.; Iiyama, M.; Jamnadass, R.; Helander, H.; Larsson, L.; de Leeuw, J.; Neufeldt, H.; de Nowina, K.R.; Sundberg, C. Gasifier as a cleaner cooking system in rural Kenya. *J. Clean. Prod.* **2016**, *121*, 208–217.
- Holm, S.M.; Balmes, J.; Gillette, D.; Hartin, K.; Seto, E.; Lindeman, D.; Polanco, D.; Fong, E. Cooking behaviors are related to household particulate matter exposure in children with asthma in the urban East Bay Area of Northern California. *PLoS ONE* 2018, 13, e0197199.
- Drago, G.; Perrino, C.; Canepari, S.; Ruggieri, S.; L'Abbate, L.; Longo, V.; Colombo, P.; Feasca, D.; Balzan, M.; Cuttitta, G.; et al. Relationship between domestic smoking and metals and rare earth elements concentration in indoor PM<sub>2.5</sub>. *Environ. Res.* 2018, 165, 71–80.
- 58. Braun, M.; Koger, F.; Klingelhofer, D.; Muller, R.; Gronerberg, D.A. Particulate matter emissions of four different cigarette types of one popular brand: Influence of tobacco strength and additives. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2019**, *16*, 263.
- 59. Lee, S.; Wang, B. Characteristics of emissions of air pollutants from burning of incense in a large environmental chamber. *Atmos. Environ.* **2004**, *38*, 941–951.
- 60. Lin, T.C.; Krishnaswamy, G.H.; Chi, D.S. Incense smoke: Clinical, structural and molecular effects on airway disease. *Clin. Mol. Allergy* **2008**, *6*, 3.
- Kumar, R.; Gupta, N.; Kumar, D.; Mavi, A.K.; Singh, K.; Kumar, M. Monitoring of indoor particulate matter during burning of mosquito coil, incense sticks and dhoop. *Indian J. Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2014, 28, 68–73.
- 62. Soule, E.; Maloney, S.F.; Spindle, T.; Rudy, A.; Hiler, M.M.; Cobb, C.O. Electronic cigarette use and indoor air quality in a natural setting. *Tob. Control.* 2017, *26*, 109–112.
- 63. Schober, W.; Fembacher, L.; Frenzen, A.; Fromme, H. Passive exposure to pollutants from conventional cigarettes and new electronic smoking devices (IQOS, e-cigarette) in passenger cars. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* **2019**, 222, 486–493.
- 64. Cassee, F.R.; Héroux, M.E.; Gerlofs-Nijland, M.E.; Kelly, F.J. Particulate matter beyond mass: Recent health evidence on the role of fractions, chemical constituents and sources of emission. *Inhal. Toxicol.* **2013**, *25*, 802–812.
- Morawska, L.; Ayoko, G.A.; Bae, G.N.; Buonanno, G.; Chao, C.Y.H.; Clifford, S.; Fu, S.C.; Hanninen, O.; He, C.; Isaxon, C.; et al. Airborne particles in indoor environment of homes, schools, offices and aged care facilities: The main routes of exposure. *Environ. Int.* 2017, 108, 75–83.
- 66. Jones, N.; Thornton, C.; Mark, D.; Harrison, R. Indoor/outdoor relationships of particulate matter in domestic homes with roadside, urban and rural locations. *Atmos. Environ.* **2000**, *34*, 2603–2612.
- 67. Kuo, H.W.; Shen, H.Y. Indoor and outdoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations in the air during a dust storm. *Build. Environ.* **2010**, 45, 610–614.

- 68. Goudie, A.S. Dust Storms and Human Health. In *Extreme Weather Events and Human Health*; Akhtar, R., Ed.; Springer: Cham, Switzerland, 2020; pp. 13–24.
- 69. Baklanov, A.; Molina, L.T.; Gauss, M. Megacities, air quality and climate. Atmos. Environ. 2016, 126, 235–249.
- 70. Chithra, V.S.; Shiva Nagendra, S.M. Particulate Matter Mass and Number Concentrations Inside a Naturally Ventilated School Building Located Adjacent to an Urban Roadway. J. Inst. Eng. (India) Ser. A 2014, 95, 143–149.
- Putaud, J.P.; Raes, F.; Van Dingenen, R.; Bruggemann, E.; Facchini, M.C.; Decesari, S.; Fuzzi, S.; Gehrig, R.; Huglin, C.; Laj, P.; et al. A European aerosol phenomenology-2 chemical characteristics of particulate matter at kerbside, urban, rural and background sites in Europe. *Atmos. Environ.* 2004, *38*, 2579–2595.
- 72. Wang, Y.C.; Chen, J.; Wang, Q.Y.; Qin, Q.D.; Ye, J.H.; Han, Y.M.; Li, L.; Zhen, W.; Zhi, Q.; Zhang, Y.; et al. Increased Secondary Aerosol Contribution and Possible Processing on Polluted Qinter Days in China. *Environ. Int.* **2019**, *127*, 78–84.
- 73. Morakinyo, O.M.; Mokgobu, M.I.; Mukhola, M.S.; Godobedzha, T. Biological Composition of Respirable Particulate Matter in an Industrial Vicinity in South Africa. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* **2019**, *16*, 629.
- Vu, T.V.; Ondracek, J.; Zdímal, V.; Schwarz, J.; Delgado-Saborit, J.M.; Harrison, R.M. Physical properties and lung deposition of particles emitted from five major indoor sources. *Air Qual. Atmos. Health* 2017, 10, 1–14.
- 75. Nazaro, W.W. Indoor particle dynamics. Indoor Air 2004, 14, 175–183.
- Hussain, S.; Parker, S.; Edwards, K.; Finch, J.; Jeanjean, A.; Leigh, R.; Gonem, S. Effects of indoor particulate matter exposure on daily asthma control. *Ann. Allergy Asthma Immunol.* 2019, 123, 375–380.
- Abbing-Karahagopian, V.; van der Gugten, A.C.; van der Ent, C.K.; Uiterwaal, C.; de Jongh, M.; Oldenwening, M.; Brunekreef, B.; Gehring, U. Effect of endotoxin and allergens on neonatal lung function and infancy respiratory symptoms and eczema. *Pediatr. Allergy Immunol.* 2012, 23, 448–455.
- Mercorio, R.; Bonzini, M.; Angelici, L.; Iodice, S.; Delbue, S.; Mariani, J.; Apostoli, P.; Pesatori, A.C.; Bollati, V. Effects of metalrich particulate matter exposure on exogenous and endogenous viral sequence methylation in healthy steel-workers. *Environ. Res.* 2017, 159, 452–457.
- 79. Hulin, M.; Simoni, M.; Viegi, G.; Annesi-Maesano, I. Respiratory health and indoor air pollutants based on quantitative exposure assessments. *Eur. Respir. J.* 2012, 40, 1033–1045.
- Bousquet, J.; Khaltaev, N.; Cruz, A.A.; Denburg, J.; Fokkens, W.J.; Togias, A.; Zuberbier, T.; Baena-Cagnani, C.E.; Canonica, G.W.; Weel, C.V.; et al. Allergic Rhinitis and its impact on Asthma (ARIA) 2008. *Allergy* 2008, 63, 8–160.
- 81. Adams, R.I.; Miletto, M.; Taylor, J.W.; Bruns, T.D. The diversity and distribution of fungi on residential surfaces. *PLoS ONE* **2013**, *8*, e78866.
- 82. Barberán, A.; Dunn, R.R.; Reich, B.J.; Pacifici, K.; Laber, E.B.; Menninger, H.L.; Morton, J.M.; Henley, J.B.; Leff, J.W.; Miller, S.L.; et al. The ecology of microscopic life in household dust. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* 2015, *282*, 1139.
- 83. Weikl, F.; Tischer, C.; Probst, A.J.; Heinrich, J.; Markevych, I.; Jochner, S.; Pritsch, K. Fungal and Bacterial Communities in Indoor Dust Follow Different Environmental Determinants. *PLoS ONE* **2016**, *11*, e0154131.
- 84. Megaritis, A.; Fountoukis, C.; Charalampidis, P.; Pilinis, C.; Pandis, S.N. Response of fine particulate matter concentrations to changes of emissions and temperature in europe. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* **2013**, *13*, 3423–3443.
- 85. Kapwata, T.; Language, B.; Piketh, S.; Wright, C.Y. Variation of Indoor Particulate Matter Concentrations and Association with Indoor/Outdoor Temperature: A Case Study in Rural Limpopo, South Africa. *Atmosphere* **2018**, *9*, 124.
- 86. Zheng, S.; Zhang, J.; Mou, J.; Du, W.; Yu, Y.; Wang, L. The influence of relative humidity and ground material on indoor walkinginduced particle resuspension. *J. Environ. Sci. Heal. A* **2019**, *54*, 1044–1053.
- 87. Guo, H.; Morawska, L.; He, C.; Gilbert, D. Impact of ventilation scenario on air exchange rates and on indoor particle number concentrations in an air-conditioned classroom. *Atmos. Environ.* **2008**, *42*, 757–768.
- Han, Y.; Qi, M.; Chen, Y.; Shen, H.; Liu, J.; Huang, Y.; Chen, H.; Liu, W.X.; Wang, X.L.; Liu, J.F. Influences of ambient air PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration and meteorological condition on the indoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations in a residential apartment in Beijing using a new approach. *Environ. Pollut.* 2015, 205, 307–314.
- 89. Zhu, C.S.; Cao, J.J.; Shen, Z.X.; Liu, S.X.; Zhang, T.; Zhao, Z.Z.; Xu, H.M.; Zhang, E.K. Indoor and outdoor chemical components of PM<sub>2.5</sub> in the rural areas of Northwestern China. *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.* **2012**, *12*, 1157–1165.
- 90. Huang, T.; Yu, Y.; Wei, Y.; Wang, H.; Huang, W.; Chen, X. Spatial-seasonal characteristics and critical impact factors of PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentration in the Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei urban agglomeration. *PLoS ONE* **2018**, *13*, e0201364.
- WHO (World Health Organization). Air Quality Guidelines for Particulate Matter, Ozone, Nitrogen Dioxide and Sulfur Dioxide; WHO: Geneva, Switzerland, 2005. Available online: https://apps.who.int/iris/bitstream/handle/10665/107823/9789289021920eng.pdf?sequence=1&isAllowed=y (accessed on 15 July 2021).
- Deng, X.J.; Li, F.; Li, Y.H.; Li, J.Y.; Huang, H.Z.; Liu, X.T. Vertical distribution characteristics of PM in the surface layer of Guangzhou. *Particuology* 2015, 20, 3–9.
- Chen, T.Z.; Liu, Y.C.; Ma, Q.X.; Chu, B.W.; Zhang, P.; Liu, C.G.; Liu, J.; He, H. Significant source of secondary aerosol: Formation from gasoline evaporative emissions in the presence of SO<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub>. *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 2019, *19*, 8063–8081.
- Lim, J.M.; Jeong, J.H.; Lee, J.H.; Moon, J.H.; Chung, Y.S.; Kim, K.H. The analysis of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and associated elements and their indoor/outdoor pollution status in an urban area. *Indoor Air* 2011, 21, 145–155.
- 95. Kong, S.; Lu, B.; Ji, Y.; Zhao, X.; Bai, Z.; Xu, Y.; Liu, Y.; Jiang, H. Risk assessment of heavy metals in road and soil dusts within PM<sub>2.5</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>100</sub> fractions in Dongying city, Shandong Province. *China J. Environ. Monit.* **2012**, *14*, 791–803.

- 96. Hsu, S.I.; Ito, K.; Kendall, M.; Lippmann, M. Factors affecting personal exposure to thoracic and fine particles and their components. *J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol.* **2012**, *22*, 439–447.
- Hung, P.S.; Shen, H.Y.; Kuo, H.W. Factors affecting the indoor concentrations of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> in a high-rise office building. *Epidemiology* 2006, 17, S355–S356.
- Wang, J.; Xie, X.; Fang, C.S. Temporal and Spatial Distribution Characteristics of Atmospheric Particulate Matter (PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>) in Changchun and Analysis of Its Influencing Factors. *Atmosphere*. 2019, 10, 651.
- Kim, K.Y.; Kim, Y.S.; Roh, Y.M.; Lee, C.M.; Kim, C.N. Spatial distribution of particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>) in Seoul Metropolitan Subway stations. J. Hazard. Mater. 2008, 154, 440–443.
- Cartenì, A.; Cascetta, F.; Campana, S. Underground and ground-level particulate matter concentrations in an Italian metro system. *Atmos. Environ.* 2015, 101, 328–337.
- Guo, E.; Shen, H.; He, L.; Zhang, J. Investigation of air pollution of Shanghai subway stations in ventilation seasons in terms of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub>. *Toxicol. Ind. Health* 2017, 33, 588–600.
- 102. Fung, F.; Hughson, W.G. Health effects of indoor fungal bioaerosol exposure. Appl. Occup. Environ. Hyg. 2003, 18, 535–544.
- 103. Morman, S.A.; Plumlee, G.S. The role of airborne mineral dusts in human disease. *Aeolian Res.* 2013, 9, 203–212.
- 104. Srithawirat, T.; Latif, M.T.; Sulaiman, F.R. Indoor PM<sub>10</sub> and its heavy metal composition at a roadside residential environment, Phitsanulok, Thailand. *Atmósfera* **2016**, *29*, 311–322.
- Lv, Y.; Wang, H.F.; Wei, S.S.; Zhang, L.; Zhao, Q. The Correlation between Indoor and Outdoor Particulate Matter of Different Building Types in Daqing, China. *Procedia Eng.* 2017, 205, 360–367.
- 106. Patel, S.; Sankhyan, S.; Boedicker, E.K.; DeCarlo, P.F.; Farmer, D.K.; Goldstein, A.H.; Katz, E.F.; Nazaroff, W.W.; Tian, Y.; Vanhanen, J.; et al. Indoor Particulate Matter during HOMEChem: Concentrations, Size Distributions, and Exposures. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2020, 54, 7107–7116.
- 107. Taner, S.; Pekey, B.; Pekey, H. Fine particulate matter in the indoor air of barbeque restaurants: Elemental compositions, sources and health risks. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2013**, *454*, 79–87.
- 108. Abdel-Salam, M.M.M. Indoor particulate matter in urban residences of Alexandria, Egypt. J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc. 2013, 63, 956–962.
- Koivisto, A.J.; Kling, K.I.; Hanninen, O.; Jayjock, M.; Londahl, J.; Wierzbicka, A.; Fonseca, A.S.; Uhrbrand, K.; Boor, B.E.; Jimenez, A.S.; et al. Source specific exposure and risk assessment for indoor aerosols. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2019, 668, 13–24.
- 110. Thompson, J.E. Airborne Particulate Matter: Human Exposure and Health Effects. J. Occup. Environ. Med. 2018, 60, 392–423.
- Hodas, N.; Loh, M.; Shin, H.M.; Li, D.; Bennett, D.; McKone, T.E.; Jolliet, O.; Weschler, C.J.; Jantunen, M.; Lioy, P.; et al. Indoor inhalation intake fractions of fine particulate matter: Review of influencing factors. *Indoor Air* 2016, 26, 836–856.
- 112. Madureira, J.; Slezakova, K.; Silva, A.I.; Lage, B.; Mendes, A.; Aguiar, L.; Pereira, M.C.; Teixeira, J.P.; Costa, C. Assessment of indoor air exposure at residential homes: Inhalation dose and lung deposition of PM<sub>10</sub>, PM<sub>25</sub> and ultrafine particles among newborn children and their mothers. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, *717*, 137293.
- 113. Rasmussen, P.E.; Levesque, C.; Chénier, M.; Gardner, H.D. Contribution of metals in resuspended dust to indoor and personal inhalation exposures: Relationships between PM<sub>10</sub> and settled dust. *Build. Environ.* **2018**, *143*, 513–522.
- 114. Brown, J.S.; Gordon, T.; Price, O.; Asgharian, B. Thoracic and respirable particle definitions for human health risk assessment. *Part. Fibre Toxicol.* **2013**, *10*, 12.
- 115. Kastury, F.; Smith, E.; Juhasz, A.L. A critical review of approaches and limitations of inhalation bioavailability and bioaccessibility of metal(loid)s from ambient particulate matter or dust. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2017**, *574*, 1054–1074.
- 116. USEPA (United States Environmental Protection Agency EPA). *Exposure and Human Health Evaluation of Airborne Pollution from the World Trade Center Disaster;* USEPA, Washington DC, 2002.
- 117. Almeida-Silva, M.; Pilou, M.; Housiadas, C.; Almeida, S.M. Internal dose of particles in the elderly—Modeling based on aerosol measurements. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* 2018, 25, 23645–23656.
- 118. Segalin, B.; Kumar, P.; Micadei, K.; Fornaro, A.; Gonçalves, F.L.T. Size–segregated particulate matter inside residences of elderly in the Metropolitan Area of São Paulo, Brazil. *Atmos. Environ.* **2017**, *148*, 139–151.
- Blais-Lecours, P.; Perrott, P.; Duchaine, C. Non-culturable bioaerosols in indoor settings: Impact on health and molecular approaches for detection. *Atmos. Environ.* 2015, 110, 45–53.
- Kim, K.E.; Cho, D.; Park, H.J. Air pollution and skin diseases: Adverse effects of airborne particulate matter on various skin diseases. *Life Sci.* 2016, 152, 126–134.
- 121. Wu, C.C.; Bao, L.J.; Tao, S.; Zeng, E.Y. Dermal Uptake from Airborne Organics as an Important Route of Human Exposure to E-Waste Combustion Fumes. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2016**, *50*, 6599–6605.
- 122. Garrido, J.A.; Parthasarathy, S.; Moschet, C.; Young, T.M.; McKone, T.E.; Bennett, D.H. Exposure Assessment for Air-To-Skin Uptake of Semivolatile Organic Compounds (SVOCs) Indoors. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *53*, 1608–1616.
- Williams, R.L.; Aston, L.S.; Krieger, R.I. Perspiration increased human pesticide absorption following surface contact during an indoor scripted activity program. J. Expo. Sci. Environ. Epidemiol. 2004, 14, 129–136.

- 124. Sánchez-Pérez, Y.; Chirino, Y.I.; Osornio-Vargas, A.R.; Herrera, L.A.; Morales-Bárcenas, R.; López-Saavedra, A.; González-Ramírez, I.; Miranda, J.; García-Cuellar, C.M. Cytoplasmic p21<sup>CIP1/WAF1</sup>, ERK1/2 activation, and cytoskeletal remodeling are associated with the senescence-like phenotype after airborne particulate matter (PM<sub>10</sub>) exposure in lung cells. *Toxicol. Lett.* 2014, 225, 12–19.
- 125. Lademann, J.; Schaefer, H.; Otberg, N.; Teichmann, A.; Blume-Peytavi, U.; Sterry, W. Penetration of microparticles into human skin. *Hautarzt* 2004, *55*, 1117–1119.
- 126. Wilson, R.; Jones-Otazo, H.; Petrovic, S.; Mitchell, I.; Bonvalot, Y.; Williams, D.; Richardson, G.M. Revisiting Dust and Soil Ingestion Rates Based on Hand-to-Mouth Transfer. *Hum. Ecol. Risk Assess. Int. J.* **2013**, *19*, 158–188.
- 127. De Brouwere, K.; Buekers, J.; Cornelis, C.; Schlekat, C.E.; Oller, A.R. Assessment of indirect human exposure to environmental sources of nickel: Oral exposure and risk characterization for systemic effects. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2012**, *419*, 25–36.
- 128. Beamish, L.A.; Osornio-Vargas, A.R.; Wine, E. Air pollution: An environmental factor contributing to intestinal disease. *J. Crohn's Colitis* 2011, *5*, 279–286.
- 129. Fan, X.; Wang, Z.; Li, Y.; Wang, H.; Fan, W.; Dong, Z. Estimating the dietary exposure and risk of persistent organic pollutants in China: A national analysis. *Environ. Pollut.* 2021, 288, 117764.
- 130. Dong, Z.; Fan, X.; Li, Y.; Wang, Z.; Chen, L.; Wang, Y.; Zhao, X.; Fan, W.; Wu, F. A Web-Based Database on Exposure to Persistent Organic Pollutants in China. *Environ. Health Perspect.* **2021**, *129*, 057701.
- 131. Wang, W.; Abualnaja, K.O.; Asimakopoulos, A.G.; Covaci, A.; Gevao, B.; Johnson-Restrepo, B.; Kumosani, T.A.; Malarvannan, G.; Minh, T.B.; Moon, H.B.; et al. A comparative assessment of human exposure to tetrabromobisphenol A and eight bisphenols including bisphenol A via indoor dust ingestion in twelve countries. *Environ. Int.* 2015, *83*, 183–191.
- 132. Xu, H.M.; Guinot, B.; Cao, J.J.; Li, Y.Q.; Niu, X.Y.; Ho, K.F.; Shen, Z.X.; Liu, S.X.; Zhang, T.; Lei, Y.L.; et al. Source, health risk and composition impact of outdoor very fine particles (VFPs) to school indoor environment in Xi'an, Northwestern China. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2018, *612*, 238–246.
- 133. Avigo, D.; Godoi, A.F.L.; Janissek, P.R.; Makarovska, Y.; Krata, A.; Potgieter-Vermaak, S.; Alfoldy, B.; Van Grieken, R.; Godoi, R.H.M. Particulate matter analysis at elementary schools in Curitiba, Brazil. *Anal. Bioanal. Chem.* **2008**, 391, 1459–1468.
- 134. Ali, M.Y.M.; Hanafiah, M.M.; Latif, M.T. Composition and Distribution of Particulate Matter (PM<sub>10</sub>) in a Mechanically Ventilated University Building. *AIP Conf. Proc.* **2016**, *1784*, 060017.
- 135. Sysoltseva, M.; Winterhalter, R.; Wolf, J.; Berlin, K.; Eckert, S.; Fembacher, L.; Matzen, W.; Nitschke, L.; Scheu, C.; Fromme, H. Particulate matter in air at indoor go-kart facilities in Bavaria, Germany. *Atmos. Environ.* 2018, 193, 118–126.
- Horemans, B.; Worobiec, A.; Buczynska, A.; Van Meel, K.; Van Grieken, R. Airborne particulate matter and BTEX in office environments. J. Environ. Monit. 2008, 10, 867–876.
- 137. Huang, R.J.; Duan, J.; Li, Y.J.; Chen, Q.; Chen, Y.; Tang, M.J.; Yang, L.; Ni, H.Y.; Lin, C.S.; Xu, W.; et al. Effects of NH<sup>3</sup> and alkaline metals on the formation of particulate sulfate and nitrate in wintertime Beijing. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, *717*, 137190.
- 138. Buczynska, A.J.; Krata, A.; Van Grieken, R.; Brown, A.; Polezer, G.; De Wael, K.; Potgieter-Vermaak, S. Composition of PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>1</sub> on high and low pollution event days and its relation to indoor air quality in a home for the elderly. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2014, 490, 134–143.
- 139. Frasca, D.; Marcoccia, M.; Tofful, L.; Simonetti, G.; Perrino, C.; Canepari, S. Influence of advanced wood-fired appliances for residential heating on indoor air quality. *Chemosphere* **2018**, *211*, 62–71.
- Salameh, D.; Detournay, A.; Pey, J.; Perez, N.; Liguori, F.;Saraga, D.; Bove, M.C.; Brotto, P.; Cassola, F.; Massabo, D.; et al. PM<sub>2.5</sub> chemical composition in five European Mediterranean cities: A 1-year study. *Atmos. Res.* 2015, 155, 102–117.
- 141. Saraga, D.; Maggos, T.; Sadoun, E.; Fthenou, E.; Hassan, H.; Tsiouri, V.; Karavoltsos, S.; Sakellari, A.; Vasilakos, C.; Kakosimos, K. Chemical Characterization of Indoor and Outdoor Particulate Matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>, PM<sub>10</sub>) in Doha, Qatar. *Aerosol Air Qual. Res.* 2017, 17, 1156–1168.
- 142. Biester, H.; Hermanns, Y.M.; Cortizas, A.M. The influence of organic matter decay on the distribution of major and trace elements in ombrotrophic mires-a case study from the Harz Mountains. *Geochim. Cosmochim. Acta* 2012, 84, 126–136.
- Wang, X.H.; Bi, X.H.; Sheng, G.Y.; Fu, J.M. Chemical Composition and Sources of PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> Aerosols in Guangzhou, China. *Environ. Monit. Assess.* 2006, 119, 425–439.
- 144. Cheng, Z.L.; Lam, K.S.; Chan, L.Y.; Wang, T.; Cheng, K.K. Chemical characteristics of aerosols at coastal station in Hong Kong. I. Seasonal variation of major ions, halogens and mineral dusts between 1995 and 1996. *Atmos. Environ.* **2000**, *34*, 2771–2783.
- 145. Mahfouz, M.M.; Yigiterhan, O.; Elnaiem, A.E.; Hassan, H.M.; Alfoldy, B. Elemental compositions of particulate matter retained on air condition unit's filters at Greater Doha, Qatar. *Environ. Geochem. Health* **2019**, *41*, 2533–2548.
- 146. Monsalve, S.M.; Martinez, L.; Vasquez, K.Y.; Orellana, S.A.; Vergara, J.K.; Mateo, M.M.; Salazar, R.C.; Alburquenque, M.F.; Lillo, D.D.C. Trace element contents in fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) in urban school microenvironments near a contaminated beach with mine tailings, Chaaral, Chile. *Environ. Geochem. Health* 2018, 40, 1077–1091.
- 147. Rogula-Kopiec, P.; Pastuszka, J.; Mathews, B.; Widziewicz, K. Factors determining the concentration and chemical composition of particulate matter in the air of selected service facilities. *E3S Web Conf.* **2018**, *28*, 01032.
- 148. Ali, M.Y.; Hanafiah, M.M.; Khan, M.F.; Latif, M.T. Quantitative source apportionment and human toxicity of indoor trace metals at university buildings. *Build. Environ.* 2017, 121, 238–246.
- 149. Alves, C.A.; Vicente, E.D.; Evtyugina, M.; Vicente, A.M.; Nunes, T.; Lucarelli, F.; Calzolai, G.; Nava, S.; Calvo, A.I.; Alegre, C.D.; et al. Indoor and outdoor air quality: A university cafeteria as a case study. *Atmos. Pollut. Res.* **2020**, *11*, 531–544.

- Zhang, Q.; Shen, Z.X.; Cao, J.J.; Ho, K.F.; Zhang, R.J.; Bie, Z.J.; Chang, H.R.; Liu, S.X. Chemical profiles of urban fugitive dust over Xi'an in the south margin of the Loess Plateau. *China Atmos. Pollut. Res.* 2014, *5*, 421–430.
- 151. Choppala, G.; Bolan, N.; Kunhikrishnan, A.; Skinner, W.; Seshadri, B. Concomitant reduction and immobilization of chromium in relation to its bioavailability in soils. *Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res.* **2015**, *22*, 8969–8978.
- 152. Yadav, S.; Rajamani, V. Air quality and trace metal chemistry of different size fractions of aerosols in N-NW India-implications for source diversity. *Atmos. Environ.* **2006**, *40*, 698–712.
- 153. Lowther, S.D.; Jones, K.C.; Wang, X.M.; Whyatt, J.D.; Wild, O.; Booker, D. Particulate Matter Measurement Indoors: A Review of Metrics, Sensors, Needs, and Applications. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **2019**, *53*, 11644–11656.
- 154. Sakellaris, I.; Saraga, D.; Mandin, C.; de Kluizenaar, Y.; Fossati, S.; Spinazzè, A.; Cattaneo, A.; Szigeti, T.; Mihucz, V.; de Oliveira Fernandes, E.; et al. Personal Control of the Indoor Environment in Offices: Relations with Building Characteristics, Influence on Occupant Perception and Reported Symptoms Related to the Building—The Officair Project. *Appl. Sci.* 2019, 9, 3227.
- 155. Abdel-Salam, M.M.M. Indoor PM in Different Residential Areas of Alexandria City, Egypt. *Indoor Built Environ.* 2012, 21, 857–862.
- 156. Brauer, M.; Freedman, G.; Frostad, J.; van Donkelaar, A.; Martin, R.V.; Dentener, F.; van Dingenen, R.; Estep, K.; Amini, H.; Apte, J.S.; et al. Exposure assessment for estimation of the global burden of disease attributable to outdoor air pollution *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2012, 45, 652–660.
- 157. Chen, R.J.; Zhao, A.; Chen, H.L.; Zhao, Z.H.; Cai, J.; Wang, C.C.; Yang, C.Y.; Li, H.C.; Xu, X.H.; Ha, S.D.; et al. Cardiopulmonary Benefits of Reducing Indoor Particles of Outdoor Origin: A Randomized, Double-Blind Crossover Trial of Air Purifiers. *J. Am. Coll. Cardiol.* **2015**, *65*, 2279–2287.
- Salimifard, P.; Rim, D.; Gomes, C.; Kremer, P.; Freihaut, J.D. Resuspension of Biological Particles from Indoor Surfaces: Effects of Humidity and Air Swirl. Sci. Total Environ. 2017, 583, 241–247.
- 159. Dadvand, P.; Parker, J.; Bell, M.L.; Bonzini, M.; Brauer, M.; Darrow, L.A.; Gehring, U.; Glinianaia, S.V.; Gouveia, N.; Ha, E.H.; et al. Maternal exposure to particulate air pollution and term birth weight: A multi-country evaluation of effect and heterogeneity. *Environ. Health Persp.* **2013**, *121*, 267–373.
- Neuberger, M.; Moshammer, H.; Rabczenko, D. Acute and Subacute Effects of Urban Air Pollution on Cardiopulmonary Emergencies and Mortality: Time Series Studies in Austrian Cities. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2013, 10, 4728–4751.
- Yorifuji, T.; Kashima, S.; Doi, H. Associations of acute exposure to fine and coarse particulate matter and mortality among older people in Tokyo, Japan. Sci. Total Environ. 2016, 542, 354–359.
- 162. COMEAP (The Committee on the Medical Effects of Air Pollutants). Long-Term Exposure to Air Pollution: Effect on Mortality; COMEAP, Chilton, Didcot, Oxfordshire OX11 0RQ, UK, 2009.
- 163. Health Matters: Air Pollution. 2018. Available online: https://elkssl0654429fdf150efe5e4fdae07ab4ffa3lib.v.ntu.edu.cn:4443/gov-ernment/publications/health-matters-air-pollution/health-matters-air-pollution (accessed on 8 April 2020).
- 164. Samoli, E.; Stafoggia, M.; Rodopoulou, S.; Ostro, B.; Declercq, C.; Alessandrini, E.; Díaz, J.; Karanasiou, A.; Kelessis, A.G.; Tertre, A.L.; et al. Associations between fine and coarse particles and mortality in Mediterranean cities: Results from the MED-PARTI-CLES project. *Environ. Health Perspect.* 2013, 121, 932–938.
- 165. Garcia, E.; Rice, M.B.; Gold, D.R. Air pollution and lung function in children. J. Allergy Clin. Immun. 2021, 148, 1-14.
- 166. Kumar, P.; Morawska, L.; Birmili, W.; Paasonen, P.; Hu, M.; Kulmala, M.; Harrison, R.M.; Norford, L.; Britter, R. Ultra-fine particles in cities. *Environ. Int.* 2014, 66, 1–10.
- 167. Xu, H.M.; Guinot, B.; Niu, X.Y.; Cao, J.J.; Ho, K.F.; Zhao, Z.H.; Ho, S.S.H.; Liu, S.X. Concentrations, particle-size distributions, and indoor/outdoor differences of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) in a middle school classroom in Xi'an, China. *Environ. Geochem. Health* **2015**, *37*, 861–873.
- Slezakova, K.; Castro, D.; Pereira, M.C.; Morais, S.; Delerue-Matos, C.; Alvim-Ferraz, M.C. Influence of Tobacco Smoke on Carcinogenic PAH Composition in Indoor PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>25</sub>. *Atmos. Environ.* 2009, 43, 6376–6382.
- Da Silva, C.S.; Rossato, J.M.; Vaz Rocha, J.A.; Vargas, V.M.F. Characterization of an area of reference for inhalable particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) associated with genetic biomonitoring in children. *Mutat. Res. Genet. Toxicol. Environ. Mutagenesis* 2015, 778, 44– 55.
- Yousef, A.O.S.; Fahad, A.A.; Moneim, A.E.A.; Metwally, D.M.; El-khadragy, M.F.; Kassab, R.B. The Neuroprotective Role of Coenzyme Q10 Against Lead Acetate-Induced Neurotoxicity Is Mediated by Antioxidant, Anti-Inflammatory and Anti-Apoptotic Activities. *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health* 2019, 16, 2895.
- Rundell, K.W.; Hoffman, J.R.; Caviston, R.; Bulbulian, R.; Hollenbach, A.M. Inhalation of ultrafine and fine particulate matter disrupts systemic vascular function. *Inhal. Toxicol.* 2007, 19, 133–140.
- 172. Dacunto, P.J.; Cheng, K.C.; Acevedo-Bolton, V.; Jiang, R.T.; Klepeis, N.E.; Repace, J.L.; Ott, W.R.; Hildemann, L.M. Identifying and quantifying secondhand smoke in source and receptorrooms: Logistic regression and chemical mass balance approaches. *Indoor Air* **2014**, *24*, 59–70.
- 173. Quezada-Maldonado, E.M.; Sánchez-Pérez, Y.; Chirino, Y.I.; García-Cuellar, C.M. Airborne particulate matter induces oxidative damage, DNA adduct formation and alterations in DNA repair pathways. *Environ. Pollut.* **2021**, *287*, 117313.
- Gollmer, C.; Hofer, I.; Kaltschmitt, M. Additives as a fuel-oriented measure to mitigate inorganic particulate matter (PM) emissions during small-scale combustion of solid biofuels. *Biomass Convers. Biorefinery* 2019, 9, 3–20.
- 175. Gemenetzis, P.; Moussas, P.; Arditsoglou, A.; Samara, C. Mass concentration and elemental composition of indoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> in university rooms in Thessaloniki, northern Greece. *Atmos. Environ.* **2006**, *40*, 3195–3206.

- 176. Wolfle, U.; Esser, P.R.; Simon-Haarhaus, B.; Martin, S.F.; Lademann, J.; Schempp, C.M. UVB-induced DNA damage, generation of reactive oxygen species, and inflammation are effectively attenuated by the flavonoid luteolin in vitro and in vivo. *Free Radic. Bio. Med.* **2011**, *50*, 1081–1093.
- 177. Nanayakkara, G.K.; Wang, H.; Yang, X.F. Proton leak regulates mitochondrial reactive oxygen species generation in endothelial cell activation and inflammation—A novel concept. *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.* **2019**, *662*, 68–74.
- 178. Peng, J.J.; Zhang, L.L.; Meng, Q.Q.; Zhang, F.; Mao, X.N.; Liu, J.M.; Chen, Y.H.; Zou, H.F.; Shi, B.Y.; Wu, R.J.; et al. Adverse impact of ambient PM<sub>2.5</sub> on expression and trafficking of surfactant protein A through reactive oxygen species damage to lamellar bodies. *Toxicol. Lett.* 2019, 315, 47–54.
- 179. Gilli, G.; Traversi, D.; Rovere, R.; Pignata, C.; Schiliro, T. Chemical characteristics and mutagenic activity of PM<sub>10</sub> in Torino, a Northern Italian City. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2007**, *385*, 97–107.
- Magnani, N.D.; Marchini, T.; Garces, M.; Mebert, A.; Caceres, L.; Diaz, L.; Desimone, M.; Evelson, P.A. Role of transition metals present in air particulate matter on lung oxygen metabolism. *Int. J. Biochem. Cell B* 2016, *81*, 419–426.
- Suh, H.H.; Zanobetti, A.; Schwartz, J.; Coull, B.A. Chemical Properties of Air Pollutants and Cause-Specific Hospital Admissions among the Elderly in Atlanta, Georgia. *Environ. Health Persp.* 2011, 119, 1421–1428.
- Bell, M.L.; Ebisu, K.; Peng, R.D.; Samet, J.M.; Dominici, F. Hospital Admissions and Chemical Composition of Fine Particle Air Pollution. Am. J. Respir. Crit. Care Med. 2009, 179, 1115–1120.
- 183. Lipfert, F.W.; Baty, J.D.; Miller, J.P.; Wyzga, R.E. PM2.5 constituents and related air quality variables as predictors of survival in a cohort of U.S. military veterans. *Inhal. Toxicol.* **2006**, *18*, 645–657.
- 184. Ho, C.C.; Chen, Y.C.; Yet, S.F.; Weng, C.Y.; Tsai, H.T.; Hsu, J.F.; Lin, P.P. Identification of ambient fine PM components related to vascular dysfunction by analyzing spatiotemporal variations. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2020**, *719*, 137243.
- 185. Ostro, B.D. Associations between morbidity and alternative measures of particulate matter. Risk Anal. 1990, 10, 421–427.
- 186. Rich, D.Q.; Zhang, W.J.; Lin, S.; Squizzato, S.; Thurston, S.W.; van Wijngaarden, E.; Croft, D.; Masiol, M.; Hopke, P.K. Triggering of cardiovascular hospital admissions by source specific fine particle concentrations in urban centers of New York State. *Environ. Int.* 2019, 126, 387–394.
- 187. Wang, Y.C.; Lin, Y.K. Mortality and emergency room visits associated with ambient PM constituents in metropolitan Taipei. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2016**, *569*, 1427–1434.
- 188. Ostro, B.; Feng, W.Y.; Broadwin, R.; Green, S.; Lipsett, M. The effects of components of fine particulate air pollution on mortality in California: Results from CALFINE. *Environ. Health Persp.* **2007**, *115*, 13–19.
- Fine, P.M.; Sioutas, C.; Solomon, P.A. Secondary particulate matter in the United States: Insights from the particulate matter supersites program and related studies. J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc. 2008, 58, 234–253.
- 190. Goodsell, D.S. The Molecular Perspective: Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons. Stem Cells 2004, 22, 873-874.
- 191. Nguyen, T.N.T.; Kwon, H.O.; Lammel, G.; Jung, K.S.; Lee, S.J.; Choi, S.D. Spatially high-resolved monitoring and risk assessment of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in an industrial city. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2020**, *393*, 122409.
- 192. Li, J.; Fan, H.Z.; Liu, K.P.; Li, X.Y.; Fan, D.P.; Lu, X.C.; Xia, Y.; Cao, Y.; Xiao, C. Associations of urinary polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons with albuminuria in US adults, NHANES 2003-2014. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Safe* **2020**, *195*, 110445.
- 193. Ye, X.Q.; Pan, W.Y.; Li, C.M.; Ma, X.C.; Yin, S.S.; Zhou, J.H.; Liu, J. Exposure to polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and risk for premature ovarian failure and reproductive hormones imbalance. *J. Environ. Sci.* **2020**, *91*, 1–9.
- 194. Hien, V.T.D.; Lin, C.; Thanh, V.C.; Oanh, N.T.K.; Thanh, B.X.; Weng, C.E.; Yuan, C.S.; Rene, E.R. An overview of the development of vertical sampling technologies for ambient volatile organic compounds (VOCs). *J. Environ. Manag.* **2019**, 247, 401–412.
- 195. Guo, H.; Lee, S.C.; Chan, L.Y.; Li, W.M. Risk assessment of exposure to volatile organic compounds in different indoor environments. *Environ. Res.* 2004, 94, 57–66.
- Ramadan, A.; Yassin, M.F.; Alshammari, B.Z. Health risk assessment associated with volatile organic compounds in a parking garage. Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol. 2019, 16, 2549–2564.
- 197. Wolkoff, P. Indoor air pollutants in office environments: Assessment of comfort, health, and performance. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* **2013**, *216*, 371–394.
- 198. Feng, S.; Gao, D.; Liao, F.; Zhou, F.R.; Wang, X.M. The health effects of ambient PM<sub>25</sub> and potential mechanisms. *Ecotoxicol. Environ. Safe.* **2016**, *128*, 67–74.
- 199. Widziewicz, K.; Loska, K. Metal induced inhalation exposure in urban population: A probabilistic approach. *Atmos. Environ.* **2016**, *128*, 198–207.
- Hadnagy, W.; Stiller-Winkler, R.; Kainka, E.; Ranft, U.; Idel, H. Influence of urban particulate air pollution (PM10; PM2.5) on the immune system of children. J. Aerosol Sci. 1998, 29, S997-S998.
- 201. DeFranco, E.; Moravec, W.; Xu, F.; Hall, E.; Hossain, M.; Haynes, E.N.; Muglia, L.; Chen, A. Exposure to airborne particulate matter during pregnancy is associated with preterm birth: A population-based cohort study. *Environ. Health* **2016**, *15*, 6.
- 202. Tanner, J.P.; Salemi, J.L.; Stuart, A.L.; Yu, H.; Jordan, M.M.; DuClos, C.; Cavicchia, P.; Correia, J.A.; Watkins, S.M.; Kirby, R.S. Associations between exposure to ambient benzene and PM<sub>2.5</sub> during pregnancy and the risk of selected birth defects in offspring. *Environ. Res.* 2015, 142, 345–353.
- Siddika, N.; Balogun, H.A.; Amegah, A.K.; Jaakkola, J.J. Prenatal ambient air pollution exposure and the risk of stillbirth: Systematic review and meta-analysis of the empirical evidence. *Occup. Environ. Med.* 2016, 73, 573–581.

- 204. Jedrychowski, W.A.; Perera, F.P.; Spengler, J.D.; Mroz, E.; Stigter, L.; Flak, E.; Majewska, R.; Klimaszewska-Rembiasz, M.; Jacek, R. Intrauterine exposure to fine particulate matter as a risk factor for increased susceptibility to acute broncho-pulmonary infections in early childhood. *Int. J. Hyg. Environ. Health* 2013, 216, 395–401.
- Burtscher, H.; Schuepp, K. The occurrence of ultrafine particles in the specific environment of children. *Paediatr. Respir. Rev.* 2012, 13, 89–94.
- 206. Mendell, M.J. Indoor residential chemical emissions as risk factors for-respiratory and allergic effects in children: A review. *Indoor Air* **2007**, *17*, 259–277.
- 207. Bornehag, C.G.; Sundell, J.; Weschler, C.J.; Sigsgaard, T.; Lundgren, B.; Hasselgren, M.; Hagerhed-Engman, L. The association between asthma and allergic symptoms in children and phthalates in house dust: A nested case-control study. *Environ. Health Persp.* 2004, 112, 1393–1397.
- Mousavi, S.E.; Heydarpour, P.; Reis, J.; Amiri, M.; Sahraian, M.A. Multiple sclerosis and air pollution exposure: Mechanisms toward brain autoimmunity. *Med. Hypotheses* 2017, 100, 23–30.
- 209. Chapman, R.S.; Watkinson, W.P.; Dreher, K.L.; Costa, D.L. Ambient particulate matter and respiratory and cardiovascular illness in adults: Particle-borne transition metals and the heart-lung axis. *Environ. Toxicol. Phar.* **1997**, *4*, 331–338.
- Chang, L.T.; Tang, C.S.; Pan, Y.Z.; Chan, C.C. Association of heart rate variability of the elderly with personal exposure to PM<sub>1</sub>, PM<sub>1-2.5</sub>, and PM<sub>2.5-10</sub>. Bull. Environ. Contam. Toxicol. 2007, 79, 552–556.
- Chen, C.H.; Wu, C.D.; Chiang, H.C.; Chu, D.C.; Lee, K.Y.; Lin, W.Y.; Yeh, J.I.; Tsai, K.W.; Guo, Y.L.L. The effects of fine and coarse PM on lung function among the elderly. *Sci. Rep.* 2019, *9*, 14790.
- Prada, D.; Lopez, G.; Solleiro-Villavicencio, H.; Garcia-Cuellar, C.; Baccarelli, A.A. Molecular and cellular mechanisms linking air pollution and bone damage. *Environ. Res.* 2020, 185, 109465.
- Calderon-Garciduenas, L.; Serrano-Sierra, A.; Torres-Jardón, R.; Zhu, H.; Yuan, Y.; Smith, D.; Delgado-Chavez, R.; Cross, J.V.; Medina-Cortina, H.; Kavanaugh, M.; et al. The impact of environmental metals in young urbanites' brains. *Exp. Toxicol. Pathol.* 2013, 65, 503–511.
- 214. Pohl, H.R.; Roney, N.; Abadin, H.G. Metal ions affecting the neurological system. Met. Ions Life Sci. 2011, 8, 247–262.
- Li, Y.C.; Shu, M.; Ho, S.S.H.; Wang, C.; Cao, J.J.; Wang, G.H.; Wang, X.X.; Wang, K.; Zhao, X.Q. Characteristics of PM<sub>2.5</sub> emitted from different cooking activities in China. *Atmos. Res.* 2015, *166*, 83–91.
- 216. Wan, M.P.; Wu, C.L.; Szeto, G.N.; Chan, T.C.; Chao, C.Y.H. Ultrafine particles, and PM<sub>2.5</sub> generated from cooking in homes. *Atmos. Environ.* **2011**, *45*, 6141–6148.
- Wong, T.W.; Wong, A.H.S.; Lee, F.S.C.; Qiu, H. Respiratory health and lung function in Chinese restaurant kitchen workers. Occup. Environ. Med. 2011, 68, 746–752.
- 218. Cheng, J.H.; Lee, Y.S.; Chen, K.S. Carbonyl compounds in dining areas, kitchens and exhaust streams in restaurants with varying cooking methods in Kaohsiung, Taiwan. J. Environ. Sci. 2016, 41, 218–226.
- See, S.W.; Balasubramanian, R. Risk assessment of exposure to indoor aerosols associated with Chinese cooking. *Environ. Res.* 2006, 102, 197–204.
- Pan, C.H.; Chan, C.C.; Wu, K.Y. Effects on Chinese Restaurant Workers of Exposure to Cooking Oil Fumes: A Cautionary Note on Urinary 8-Hydroxy-2-Deoxyguanosine. *Cancer Epidemiol. Prev. Biomark.* 2008, 17, 3351–3357.
- Bigert, C.; Lonn, M.; Feychting, M.; Sjogren, B.; Lewne, M.; Gustavsson, P. Incidence of myocardial infarction among cooks and other restaurant workers in Sweden 1987–2005. *Scand. J. Work. Environ. Health* 2013, 39, 204–211.
- 222. Zimmer, A.T.; Baron, P.A.; Biswas, P. The influence of operating parameters on number-weighted aerosol size distribution generated from a gas metal arc welding process. *J. Aerosol Sci.* 2002, *33*, 519–531.
- Racette, B.A.; McGee-Minnich, L.; Moerlein, S.M.; Mink, J.W.; Videen, T.O.; Perlmutter, J.S. Welding-related parkinsonism. Clinical features, treatment, and pathophysiology. *Neurology* 2001, 56, 8–13.
- 224. Sears, C.G.; Sears, L.; Zierold, K.M. Sex differences in the association between exposure to indoor particulate matter and cognitive control among children (age 6-14 years) living near coal-fired power plants. *Neurotoxicol. Teratol.* 2020, 78, 106855.
- Gregory, A.C.; Shendell, D.G.; Okosun, I.S.; Gieseker, K.E. Multiple Sclerosis disease distribution and potential impact of environmental air pollutants in Georgia. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2008, 396, 42–51.
- Tsai, D.H.; Lin, J.S.; Chan, C.C. Office Workers' Sick Building Syndrome and Indoor Carbon Dioxide Concentrations. J. Occup. Environ. Hyg. 2012, 9, 345–351.
- 227. Naseri, M.; Jouzizadeh, M.; Tabesh, M.; Malekipirbazari, M.; Gabdrashova, R.; Nurzhan, S.; Farrokhi, H.; Khanbabaie, R.; Mehri-Dehnavi, H.; Bekezhankyzy, Z.; et al. The impact of frying aerosol on human brain activity. *Neurotoxicology* **2019**, *74*, 149–161.
- 228. Weichenthal, S.; Villeneuve, P.J.; Burnett, R.T.; Van Donkelaar, A.; Martin, R.V.; Jones, R.R.; DellaValle, C.T.; Sandler, D.P.; Ward, M.H.; Hoppin, J.A. Long-Term Exposure to Fine Particulate Matter: Association with Nonaccidental and Cardiovascular Mortality in the Agricultural Health Study Cohort. *Environ. Health Persp.* 2014, 122, 609–615.
- 229. Reynolds, S.J.; Black, D.W.; Borin, S.S.; Breuer, G.; Burmeister, L.F.; Fuortes, L.J.; Smith, T.F.; Stein, M.A.; Subramanian, P.; Thorne, P.S.; et al. Indoor environmental quality in six commercial office buildings in the midwest United States. *Appl. Occup. Environ. Hyg.* 2001, *16*, 1065–1077.
- Dai, X.L.; Liu, J.J.; Li, X.D.; Zhao, L. Long-term monitoring of indoor CO<sub>2</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub> in Chinese homes: Concentrations and their relationships with outdoor environments. *Build. Environ.* 2018, 144, 238–247.
- 231. Maleki, H.; Sorooshian, A.; Goudarzi, G.; Baboli, Z.; Birgani, Y.T.; Rahmati, M. Air pollution prediction by using an artificial neural network model. *Clean Technol. Environ.* **2019**, *21*, 1341–1352.

- 232. Administration of Quality Supervision, Inspection and Quarantine; Ministry of Environmental Protection; Ministry of Health. Indoor Air Quality Standard, Standard No: GB/T 18883-2002. Available online: https://www.mee.gov.cn/ywgz/fgbz/bz/bzwb/dqhjbh/dqhjzlbz/200303/t20030301\_67375.shtml (accessed on 15 July 2021).
- 233. Institute of Environmental Epidemiology. *Guidelines for Good Indoor Air Quality in Office Premises;* Ministry of the Environment: Singapore, 1996.
- 234. TEC Green Office. Indoor Air Quality Guidelines for Sydney Olympic Facilities; TEC Green Office, Sydney, Australia, 1997.
- 235. Health Canada. Exposure Guidelines for Residential Indoor Air Quality: A Report of the Federal-Provincial Advisory Committee on Environmental and Occupational Health; Health Canada, Ottawa, Ontario, 1989.
- 236. ANSI/ASHRAE Standard 62.1. Ventilation for Acceptable Indoor Air Quality. American Society of Heating. Refrigerating and Air-Conditioning Engineers, Inc., Atlanta, Georgia, 2007. Available online: https://doc.wendoc.com/ba5824c3fc5ebb69eb1225b67.html (accessed on 15 July 2021).
- 237. Abdul-Wahab, S.A.; En, S.C.F.; Elkamel, A.; Ahmadi, L.; Yetilmezsoy, K. A review of standards and guidelines set by international bodies for the parameters of indoor air quality. *Atmos. Pollut. Res.* **2015**, *5*, 751–767.
- 238. Dales, R.; Liu, L.; Wheeler, A.J.; Gilbert, N.L. Quality of indoor residential air and health. Can. Med. Assoc. J. 2008, 179, 147–152.
- ACGIH (American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists). Threshol Limit Values for Chemical Substances and Physical Agents and Biological Exposure Indices; American Conference of Governmental Industrial Hygienists, Cincinnati, OH, USA, 2005; pp. 4524–16340.
- Charles, K.; Magee, R.J.; Won, D.; Lusztyk, E. Indoor Air Quality Guidelines and Standards: Final Report 5.1. Achievement Gains 2005, 4, 206–207.
- 241. Bluyssen, P. Product Policy and Indoor Air Quality. Indoor Sources and Health Effects: Background Information and Ways to Go; Directorate General for Environment: Brussels, Belgium, 2010.
- 242. Salthammer, T. Critical evaluation of approaches in setting indoor air quality guidelines and reference values. *Chemosphere*. **2011**, *82*, 1507–1517.
- 243. Wen, C.; Liu, S.; Yao, X.; Peng, L.; Li, X.; Hu, Y.; Chi, T.H. A novel spatiotemporal convolutional long short-term neural network for air pollution prediction. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2019**, *654*, 1091–1099.
- Liu, H.; Cao, C.Y.; Huang, J.Y.; Chen, Z.; Chen, G.Q.; Lai, Y.K. Progress on particulate matter filtration technology: Basic concepts, advanced materials, and performances. *Nanoscale* 2020, 12, 2156–2156.
- 245. Zheng, C.H.; Kanaoka, C. Recent advances in dust collection technology and ISO standardization in bag filtration. J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci. A 2018, 19, 21–33.
- 246. Simon, X.; Chazelet, S.; Thomas, D.; Bemer, D.; Regnier, R. Experimental study of pulse-jet cleaning of bag filters supported by rigid rings. *Powder Technol.* 2007, 172, 67–81.
- 247. Bai, Y.; Han, B.; He, C.; Gu, G.Q.; Nie, J.H.; Shao, J.J.; Xiao, T.X.; Deng, C.R.; Wang, Z.L. Washable Multilayer Triboelectric Air Filter for Efficient Particulate Matter PM2.5 Removal. *Adv. Funct. Mater.* **2018**, *28*, 1706680.
- Leman, A.M.; Zakaria, S.; Salleh, M.N.M.; Feriyanto, D.; Sunar, N.M.; Misdan, N. Indoor Air Contaminant Adsorption by Palm Shell Activated Carbon Filter-A Proposed Study, 2nd ed.; International Conference on Green Design and Manufacture: Phuket, Thailand, 2016.
- 249. Bologa, A.; Paur, H.R.; Seifert, H.; Wascher, T.; Woletz, K. Novel wet electrostatic precipitator for collection of fine aerosol. *J. Electrostat.* **2009**, *67*, 150–153.
- 250. Tan, Z.C.; Zhang, Y.H. A Review of effects and control methods of particulate matter in animal indoor environments. J. Air Waste Manag. Assoc. 2004, 54, 845–854.
- Gu, G.Q.; Han, C.B.; Lu, C.X.; He, C.; Jiang, T.; Gao, Z.L.; Li, C.J.; Wang, Z.L. Triboelectric Nanogenerator Enhanced Nanofiber Air Filters for Efficient Particulate Matter Removal. ACS Nano 2017, 11, 6211–6217.
- Zhu, T.; Wang, R.N.; Zhang, X.; Han, Y.W.; Niu, W.F.; Xue, Z.Y.; Wang, L.F. Controlling fine particles in flue gas from lead-zinc smelting by plasma technology. *Plasma Sci. Technol.* 2020, 22, 044004.
- 253. Luengas, A.; Barona, A.; Hort, C.; Gallastegui, G.; Platel, V.; Elias, A. A review of indoor air treatment technologies. *Rev. Environ. Sci. Bio/Technol.* **2015**, *14*, 499–522.
- 254. Xie, B.; Li, S.H.; Jin, H.; Hu, S.D.; Wang, F.; Zhou, F.B. Analysis of the performance of a novel dust collector combining cyclone separator and cartridge filter. *Powder Technol.* **2018**, *339*, 695–701.
- Mauter, M.S.; Elimelech, M. Environmental applications of carbon-based nanomaterials. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2008, 42, 5843– 5859.
- 256. Jo, W.K.; Yang, C.H. Granular-activated carbon adsorption followed by annular-type photocatalytic system for control of indoor aromatic compounds. *Sep. Purif. Technol.* **2009**, *66*, 438–442.
- 257. Liu, R.L.; Zhou, G.; Wang, C.M.; Jiang, W.J.; Wei, X. Preparation and performance characteristics of an environmentally-friendly agglomerant to improve the dry dust removal effect for filter material. *J. Hazard. Mater.* **2020**, *397*, 122734.
- Xiao, J.; Liang, J.C.; Zhang, C.; Tao, Y.; Ling, G.W.; Yang, Q.H. Advanced Materials for Capturing Particulate Matter: Progress and Perspectives. Small Methods. 2018, 2, 1800012.
- Guieysse, B.; Hort, C.; Platel, V.; Munoz, R.; Ondarts, M.; Revah, S. Biological treatment of indoor air for VOC removal: Potential and challenges. *Biotechnol. Adv.* 2008, 26, 398–410.
- Schmid, S.; Meier, L.; Berchtold, C.; Zenobi, R. Online Monitoring of Molecular Processes in a Plasma Air Purifying System. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 2012, 46, 4067–4073.

- 261. Li, Z.; Wen, Q.; Zhang, R. Sources, health effects and control strategies of indoor fine particulate matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>): A review. *Sci. Total Environ.* **2017**, *586*, 610–622.
- 262. Yuan, Y.; Luo, Z.W.; Liu, J.; Wang, Y.W.; Lin, Y.Y. Health and economic benefits of building ventilation for reducing indoor PM<sub>2.5</sub> exposure from both indoor and outdoor origins in urban Beijing. *China. Sci. Total Environ.* 2018, 626, 546–554.
- 263. Davis, J.; Benner, R. Seasonal trends in the abundance, composition and bioavailability of particulate and dissolved organic matter in the Chukchi/Beaufort Seas and western Canada Basin. *Deep. Sea Res. Part II Top. Stud. Oceanogr.* 2005, *52*, 3396–3410.
- 264. Kume, K.; Ohura, T.; Noda, T.; Amagai, T.; Fusaya, M. Seasonal and spatial trends of suspended-particle associated polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in urban Shizuoka, Japan. J. Hazard. Mater. 2007, 144, 513–521.
- Shao, Z.J.; Bi, J.; Ma, Z.W.; Wang, J.N. Seasonal trends of indoor fine particulate matter and its determinants in urban residences in Nanjing, China. *Build. Environ.* 2017, 125, 319–325.
- 266. Mueller, W.; Steinle, S.; Parkka, J.; Parmes, E.; Liedes, H.; Kuijpers, E.; Pronk, A.; Sarigiannis, D.; Karakitsios, S.; Chapizanis, D.; et al. Urban greenspace and the indoor environment: Pathways to health via indoor particulate matter, noise, and road noise annoyance. *Environ. Res.* 2019, 180, 108850.
- 267. Li, Y.; He, J.H.; Sun, Q.L.; Wang, P. High Temperature Resistant Nanofiber by Bubbfil-Spinning. Therm. Sci. 2015, 19, 1461–1462.
- Liu, C.; Hsu, P.C.; Lee, H.W.; Ye, M.; Zheng, G.Y.; Liu, N.A.; Li, W.Y.; Cui, Y. Transparent air filter for high-efficiency PM<sub>2.5</sub> capture. *Nat. Commun.* 2015, 6, 6205.
- Repace, J.; Zhang, B.; Bondy, S.J.; Benowitz, N.; Ferrence, R. Air quality, mortality, and economic benefits of a smoke-free workplace law for non-smoking Ontario bar workers. *Indoor Air* 2013, 23, 93–104.
- 270. Bhatt, J.M.; Ramphul, M.; Bush, A.P. An update on controversies in e-cigarettes. Paediatr. Respir. Rev. 2020, 36, 75–86.
- Cao, Y.N.; Wu, D.M.; Ma, Y.; Ma, X.M.; Wang, S.L.; Li, F.X.; Li, M.H.; Zhang, T. Toxicity of electronic cigarettes: A general review of the origins, health hazards, and toxicity mechanisms. *Sci. Total Environ.* 2021, 772, 145475.
- 272. Ng, T.P.; Hui, K.P.; Tan, W.C. Respiratory Symptoms and lungs function effects of domestic exposure to tobacco smoke and cooking by gas in non-smoking women in Singapore. *J. Epidemiol. Commun. Health* **1993**, 47, 454–458.
- Dennekamp, M.; Howarth, S.; Dick, C.A.; Cherrie, J.W.; Donaldson, K.; Seaton, A. Ultrafine particles and nitrogen oxides generated by gas and electric cooking. *Occup. Environ. Med.* 2001, 58, 511–516.
- He, C.R.; Morawska, L.D.; Hitchins, J.; Gilbert, D. Contribution from indoor sources to particle number and mass concentrations in residential houses. *Atmos. Environ.* 2004, 38, 3405–3415.
- See, S.W.; Karthikeyana, S.; Balasubramanian, R. Health risk assessment of occupational exposure to particulate-phase polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons associated with Chinese, Malay and Indian cooking. J. Environ. Monitor. 2006, 8, 369–376.
- 276. Torkmahalleh, M.A.; Gorjinezhad, S.; Keles, M.; Unluevcek, H.S.; Azgin, C.; Cihan, E.; Tanis, B.; Soy, N.; Ozaslan, N.; Ozturk, F.; et al. A controlled study for the characterization of PM<sub>25</sub> emitted during grilling ground beef meat. J. Aerosol Sci. 2017, 103, 132–140.
- 277. Hartinger, S.M.; Commodore, A.A.; Hattendorf, J.; Lanata, C.F.; Gil, A.I.; Verastegui, H.; Aguilar-Villalobos, M.; Mausezahl, D.; Naeher, L.P. Chimney stoves modestly improved Indoor Air Quality measurements compared with traditional open fire stoves: Results from a small-scale intervention study in rural Peru. *Indoor Air* 2013, 23, 342–352.
- Branco, P.T.B.S.; Alvim-Ferraz, M.C.M.; Martins, F.G.; Sousa, S.I.V. Indoor air quality in urban nurseries at Porto city: Particulate matter assessment. *Atmos. Environ.* 2013, 84, 133–143.
- Nowak, D.J.; Hirabayashi, S.; Bodine, A.; Hoehn, R. Modeled PM<sub>2.5</sub> removal by trees in ten U.S. cities and associated health effects. *Environ. Pollut.* 2013, 178, 395–402.
- 280. Little, P.; Wiffen, R.D. Emission and deposition of petrol engine exhaust Pb-I. Deposition of exhaust Pb to plant and soil surface. *Atmos. Environ.* **1977**, *11*, 437–447.
- Langner, M.; Kull, M.; Endlicher, W.R. Determination of PM10 deposition based on antimony flux to selected urban surfaces. *Environ. Pollut.* 2011, 159, 2028–2034.
- 282. Wang, Y.; Akbari, H. The effects of street tree planting on urban heat island mitigation in Montreal. *Sustain. Cities Soc.* **2016**, 27, 121–128.
- Du, L.; Batterman, S.; Parker, E.; Godwin, C.; Chin, J.Y.; O'Toole, A.; Robins, T.; Brakefield-Caldwell, W.; Lewis, T. Particle concentrations and effectiveness of freestanding air filters in bedrooms of children with asthma in Detroit, Michigan. *Build. Environ.* 2011, 46, 2303–2313.